

The second secon

26

1	BEFORE THE ARIZONA CO	PRPORATION COMMISSION
2 3 4 5	COMMISSIONERS  BOB STUMP, Chairman GARY PIERCE BRENDA BURNS BOB BURNS SUSAN BITTER SMITH	VAL CURP COMMISSION  LUCILET CONTROL
6 7 8 9 10	IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF FARMERS WATER CO., AN ARIZONA CORPORATION, FOR A DETERMINATION OF THE CURRENT FAIR VALUE OF ITS UTILITY PLANT AND PROPERTY AND FOR INCREASES IN ITS RATES AND CHARGES FOR UTILITY SERVICE.	DOCKET NO: W-01654A-13-0267  NOTICE OF FILING REBUTTAL TESTIMONY ON BEHALF OF FARMERS WATER CO.
12 13 14 15		
16 17 18 19 20		MUNGER CHADWICK, P.L.C.  Robert J. Metli 2398 E. Camelback Road, Suite 240 Phoenix, AZ 85016 Attorneys for Farmers Water Co.
21 22 23 24	ORIGINAL and thirteen (13) copies filed this 10 <sup>th</sup> day of April, 2014, with:  Docket Control Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 W. Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007	Arizona Corporation Commission DOCKETED  APR 1 0 2014

DOCKETED BY

1	COPY of the foregoing mailed/hand-delivered this 10 <sup>th</sup> day of April, 2014
2	to:
3	Jane L. Rodda Administrative Law Judge
4	Arizona Corporation Commission 400 W. Congress
5	Tucson, Arizona 85701
6	Janice Alward, Chief Legal Counsel Legal Department
7	Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 W. Washington Street
8	Phoenix, Arizona 85007
9	Steven Olea, Director Utilities Division
10	Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 W. Washington Street
11	Phoenix, Arizona 85007
12	By JMan
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

1	BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION		
2	<u>COMMISSIONERS</u>		
3	BOB STUMP, Chairman GARY PIERCE		
4	BRENDA BURNS		
5	BOB BURNS SUSAN BITTER SMITH		
6			
7	DITHE MATTER OF THE DOCKET NO. W 01654A 12 0267		
8	IN THE MATTER OF THE DOCKET NO: W-01654A-13-0267 APPLICATION OF FARMERS WATER		
9	CO., AN ARIZONA CORPORATION, FOR A DETERMINATION OF THE		
10	CURRENT FAIR VALUE OF ITS UTILITY PLANT AND PROPERTY		
11	AND FOR INCREASES IN ITS RATES AND CHARGES FOR UTILITY SERVICE.		
12	SERVICE.		
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18	REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF		
19	HEATHER TRIANA		
20	ON BEHALF OF		
21	FARMERS WATER CO.		
22	April 10, 2014		
23	April 10, 2017		
24			
25			

	1	
1		
2		TABLE OF CONTENTS
3		
4		
5	Co	ntents
6	1.	I. INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY OF TESTIMONY 1
7	2.	II. EQUITY IMPROVEMENT PLAN
8	3.	III. STAFF'S EQUITY IMPROVEMENT RECOMMENDATIONS 9
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22	:	
23 24		
24 25		
26		

- Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND ADDRESS.
- My name is Heather Triana. My business address is 1525 East Sahuarita Road, Α. Sahuarita, AZ 85629-0007.
- Q. ARE YOU THE SAME HEATHER TRIANA THAT FILED DIRECT TESTIMONY ON BEHALF OF FARMERS WATER CO. IN THIS CASE?
- A. Yes I am.
- Q. WOULD YOU SUMMARIZE YOUR REBUTTAL TESTIMONY?
  - My Rebuttal Testimony addresses issues raised by Ms. Crystal Brown, Public Utilities Analyst for Staff. Specifically, I want to address Staff's assertion that Farmers Water Co.'s Equity Improvement Plan ("Equity Plan") does not "meet the spirit of the Commission's directive" as required by Decision No. 71510. Not only does the Equity Plan comply with the Commission's directive, it is working to significantly improve the Company's equity position. Next I will address Staff's proposal that the Commission issue a directive to require the Company to meet specific equity level improvement targets over the next ten years.<sup>2</sup> Such recommendation is based upon Staff's misconception that the Equity Plan is not working and the setting of arbitrary targets is not practical and is not based on realistic, expected or anticipated events or occurrences. Furthermore, I will address Staff's proposal that the Company fund AIAC refunds through additional paid-in capital, add paid-in-capital each year equivalent to the annual level of CIAC amortization, and continue this practice until equity reaches 40 percent.<sup>3</sup> As I testify below, such plan will not increase equity and there is no assurance it will

1 -

1

2

3 4

5

6

7

8

9 10

A.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

See Direct Testimony of Crystal S. Brown, p. 16, line 22. See Direct Testimony of Crystal S. Brown, p. 17, line 5-12. See Direct Testimony of Crystal S. Brown, p. 18, line 16-21.

increase rate base. Finally, I will address Staff's recommendation that the Company discontinue the practice of recording receivables to FICO and that FICO repay the receivable within two years.<sup>4</sup> It is in the Company's financial interest to be a part of one interconnected banking facility with FICO in order to access funding and create leverage to obtain better pricing on such funding.

# II. EQUITY IMPROVEMENT PLAN

- Q. ABOVE YOU ASSERT THAT THE COMPANY'S EQUITY PLAN MEETS
  THE DIRECTIVE OF THE COMMISSION IN DECISION NO. 71510 AND
  IS WORKING. CAN YOU EXPLAIN?
- A. Yes. On March 4, 2011, the Company filed its Equity Plan pursuant to Decision No. 71510. The Company's Equity Plan set forth the following:
  - 1. Because all equity growth will come from internally generated Retained Earnings derived from Operating Income, the Company will attempt to maintain the operating margin authorized by the Commission by filing new rate applications as often as practical and necessary.
  - 2. File emergency rate applications as necessary when the Company incurs significant, prudent and necessary expenses but does not have the ability to pay, while maintaining the authorized operating margin.
  - 3. Reinvest available Operating Income in new plant and equipment. This has the equally important benefit of increasing Rate Base.
  - 4. The Company will limit reliance on developer-funded plant (contributions and advances) and invest its own capital where feasible.
  - 5. Apply for Accounting Order(s) as necessary, to defer prudent and necessary expenses for consideration of recovery in subsequent rate cases.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> See Direct Testimony of Crystal S. Brown, p. 12, line 9-11.

	$\sim$
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4

6. While the Company has typically not paid cash dividends above the amount necessary to reimburse shareholders for income taxes on the distributive share of the income from the Company, the Company will continue to suspend cash dividends beyond that amount.

# Q. HAS THE EQUITY PLAN BEEN SUCCESSFUL?

- A. Yes, I believe the Equity Plan has been successful. As I explain below, during the period of October 1, 2007 to September 30, 2012, there was an increase in rate base of \$733,501, from (\$748,645) to (\$15,143).
- Q. STAFF HAS ASSERTED THAT THE COMPANY DID NOT FUND PLANT ADDITIONS WITH EQUITY, BUT WITH AIAC AND CIAC? IS THIS TRUE?
- A. No. The majority of the plant additions since the last rate case were funded from cash flow and retained earnings as described below. This is proof that the Equity Plan is working.

# Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN.

A. If you look at Decision No. 71510, the Company's last rate case decision, page 7 lines 1 through 3, states: "The Company had plant-in-service of \$6,591,381, with Net Contributions in Aid of Construction ("CIAC") of \$465,111 and Advances in Aid of Construction ("AIAC") of \$6,874,915." Please note that these amounts include sums up to September 30, 2007. Moving forward to this rate case, the balance of the Company's plant-in-service as of September 30, 2012 was \$8,745,831, with CIAC in the amount of \$2,690,314 and AIAC in the amount of \$6,070,660. I have summarized this activity below:

# Roll-forward Summary of Plant-in-service

September 30, 2007 (Decision 71510)
Additions:

6,591,381

1	Meters – AIAC funded	303,725	
2	Meters – FWC funded	235,538	
	Development – AIAC funded	1,626,524	
3	Development – FWC funded	1,082,075	
4	Other Fixed Assets – FWC funded Reductions:	<u>264,286</u>	3,512,148
5	Depreciation		(1,357,698)
6	September 30, 2012 Balance		8,745,831
7	Roll-forward Summary of AIAC		
8			
9	September 30, 2007 (Decision 71510) Additions:		6,874,915
10	Meters	303,725	
	Development	<u>1,626,524</u>	1,930,249
11	Reductions:	(	
12	Transfers to CIAC	(2,436,482)	(0 mo 1 mo 1)
	Refunds	(298,022)	<u>(2,734,504)</u>
13	Contombou 20, 2012 Balanca		( 070 ( ( 0
14	September 30, 2012 Balance		6,070,660
15	Roll-forward Summary of CIAC		
16	September 30, 2007 (Decision 71510)	465,111	
17	Transfer from AIAC	2,436,482	
<i>-</i> ′	Amortization	(211,279)	
18	September 30, 2011 Balance	2,690,314	
10			

In looking at the Roll-forward schedules above, of the \$3,512,148 of additional Plant-in-Service, \$1,930,249 is attributable to AIAC. (Meters – AIAC funded \$303,725 + Development – AIAC funded \$1,626,524). The remaining \$1,581,899 is attributable to funds provided by Farmers Water Co. (Meters – FWC funded \$235,538 + Development – FWC funded \$1,082,075 + Other Fixed Assets – FWC funded \$264,286). So in fact, the Company did use its own capital to fund plant additions.

1	
2	Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN IN MORE DETAIL HOW THE REMAINING
3	\$1,581,899 IS ATTRIBUTABLE TO FUNDS PROVIDED BY THE
4	COMPANY.
5	A. Please note the Cashflow Analysis below for the period of October 1, 2007 to
6	September 30, 2012. (The period of time between the last rate case and the current
7	filing):
8	Fiscal Years 2008-2009 (367,557)
9	Fiscal years 2010-2012 <u>232,393</u>
10	Operating Results: (135,163)
11	Amortization (211,278)
12	Depreciation 1,357,698
13	Reduction in Receivable (FICO) 931,311
14	Refunds for AIAC (298,022)
15	Receivables (34,982)
16	Prepaids 1,385
17	A/P 29,202
18	WIP (29,953)
19	Adjustment to Retained Earnings for Depreciation correction noted in current rate case: (19,322)
20	FWC funded Capital (1,581,899)
21	Cashflow for period 10/1/07 to 09/30/2012 8,976
22	Cash at 9/30/2007 (8,976)
23	Cash at 9/30/2012
24	
25	The Company had a receivable on its books at September 30, 2007, of \$1,026,334
26	The Company had a receivable on its books at September 30, 2007, 01 \$1,020,334

A.

from FICO. At September 30, 2012, this receivable had been reduced to \$95,023.46. This is a change of \$931,310.54. The funds from this receivable were used to fund the \$1,581,899 increase in Plant-in-Service paid by the Company. Since these funds came from a reduction in a receivable, you would not see this within the Company's equity account as indicated by Staff.

- Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN HOW THE COMPANY'S RATEBASE HAS INCREASED BY \$733,501 SINCE THE LAST RATE CASE.
  - In the last rate case, the Company used a test year ending September 30, 2007. The Company's equity was (\$440,202). What Staff has not acknowledged was that in that case, there was a "pre-Filing Adjustment" to the Company's equity account of \$700,792. This \$700,792 was composed of known changes to be made to the Plant-in-Service, AIAC, and CIAC accounts. In order to reconcile the Company books to reflect the amount represented in the rate case, this entry was made within the 2010 Fiscal year, although it related to activity from the 2007 fiscal year and prior. In addition, during the last rate case it was determined that there was incorrect recording of Depreciation, AIAC, and CIAC from the end of the test year (September 30, 2007) to the time of Decision No. 71510 was issued (March 2010). The net adjustment to the Company's equity to correct this interim activity was to increase equity by \$153,545. The resulting adjustments (\$700,792 and \$153,545) were combined in one entry as an \$854,337 adjustment.

During the period of October 1, 2007 to September 30, 2012, by removing the effect of the \$770,792 adjustment discussed above, there was an increase in rate base of \$733,501, from (\$748,645) to (\$15,143). This includes the \$153,545 portion of the \$854,337 adjustment booked in 2010, as it related specifically to activity occurring within the fiscal years 2008 and 2009.

# Q. HAS THE COMPANY'S EQUITY IMPROVED SINCE THE LAST RATE CASE?

A. Yes, equity has increased since the last rate case decision was implemented. Fiscal years 2010 through 2012 had a net increase in equity of \$232,393. The Company could not increase equity in 2008 and 2009 as we knew at that time that our expenses to operate exceeded our revenue and that was one of the reasons for filing the last rate case. The breakdown is as follows:

Stock and Excess Paid in	500,000	
Retained Earnings 9/30/2007	(940,202)	
Equity 9/30/2007	(440,202)	
Adjustment pre-filing	700,792	
Adjusted Equity 9/30/2007	260,590	
Inc.(Loss) Fiscal Years 2008-2009	(367,557)	
Inc. (Loss) Fiscal years 2010-2012	232,393	
Equity 9/30/2012	125,427	

# Q. HAS THE COMPANY LIMITED ITS RELIANCE ON DEVELOPER FUNDED PLANT (AIAC AND CIAC)?

A. Yes. Under the Equity Plan, the Company stated that it would limit its reliance on developer funded plant (AIAC and CIAC) and invest its own capital where feasible. The Company has done this. Moreover, the Company has entered into only one relatively small Line Extension creating AIAC since the last Decision in March 2010. This Line Extension did not add capacity to the Company and was

2.4

for the infrastructure within the development.

- Q. YOU STATE THAT THE COMPANY LIMITED ITS RELIANCE ON DEVELOPER FUNDED PLANT (AIAC AND CIAC). PLEASE EXPLAIN.
- A. There was \$1,208,768 of additional AIAC in 2009. All three of these Line Extension Agreements where entered into prior to Decision 71510. \$578,236 relates to Madera Highlands, Village 22 which was entered into March 2, 2006. \$158,451 relates to the Madera Foothills Estates, Lots 43-61 which was entered into September 13, 2006. Finally, \$472,081 relates to The Retreat at Santa Rita Springs, Phase I which was entered into October 22, 2007. All three of these agreements where completed before March 2010.

That leaves only \$417,756 of the \$1,626,524 (Development-AIAC funded) which was recorded by the Company in Fiscal Year 2011. Of this amount \$37,500 is for The Shoppes at La Posada. This Line Extension Agreement was entered into on May 30, 2008. Construction was completed within 2008. The Company did not record this amount until September of 2011 as it had not received enough documentation from the Developer until then to record. The remaining \$380,256 is for the Sahuarita High School No. 2 – Walden Grove High School. This Line Extension was entered into on January 2010. The total Plant-in-Service recorded by FWC was \$1,462,331 relating to this project; FWC only received \$380,256 of AIAC from the Developer. Therefore, FWC funded \$1,082,075 of this project that is equal to 74% of the total costs. Finally, the only Line Extension that the Company has entered into since the last Decision is for \$138,948, with Meritage Homes Construction, Inc., as approved by the Commission by letter dated November 25, 2013. This Line Extension is not included in the preceding financial analysis because it is from the fall of 2013, or more than one year after the test

4

3

6 7

9

8

11

A.

10

13

12

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

2122

23

24

25

26

year. As previously stated, this Line Extension is strictly related to the development.

In addition, the Company has funded \$235,538 of the total \$539,263 meters added to Plant-in-Service. The Company has spent \$264,286 on additional Plant-in-Service for repairs and replacements, betterments and miscellaneous other capital items since the last test year.

# III. STAFF'S EQUITY IMPROVEMENT RECOMMENDATIONS

- Q. STAFF HAS PROPOSED THAT THE COMMISSION ISSUE A DIRECTIVE REQUIRING THE COMPANY TO MEET SPECIFIC EQUITY IMPROVEMENT TARGETS. DO YOU AGREE WITH THEM?
  - No. First, Staff's recommendation is based on the faulty premise that the Company's Equity Improvement Plan is not working. As I testified above, the Company's equity has improved by \$232,395 since the last rate case decision was implemented, and the Company's rate base has improved since the last test year by \$733,501, from (\$748,645) to (\$15,143). Staff has proposed that the Commission institute directives that would require 20 percent equity within five years, 30 percent equity within seven years, and 40 percent equity within ten years. Such arbitrary targets are not practical and are not based on realistic, expected or anticipated events or occurrences. The Company has entered into only one small Line Extension since the last Decision. With the current economic conditions, additional development may or may not occur into the foreseeable future. In any event, whether future development occurs is out of the Company's control. In order for the Company to increase its equity base it must have something to invest in. Without the development of new customers, the Company is left to invest in existing infrastructure supporting existing customers. The only time this is

A.

necessary from a capital investment standpoint, is if there is a need for a major repair, replacement or betterment. The Company cannot "manufacture" these types of situations or occurrences. If the existing infrastructure is working properly and meeting customer requirements, then it is not a sound business decision to replace for the sake of additional investment. In addition, the Commission would not allow recovery of such investment if it is not deemed prudent, thereby defeating the purpose of the requirement. To date, as major repairs, replacements or betterments have occurred the Company has funded these.

- Q. STAFF HAS ALSO PROPOSED THAT THE COMMISSION DIRECT THE COMPANY TO INCLUDE PAID-IN-CAPITAL AS PART OF ITS FUTURE PLAN TO BUILD EQUITY AND FUND PLANT ADDITIONS DO YOU AGREE?
  - No. Staff has proposed the Company fund AIAC refunds through additional paidin capital, add paid-in-capital each year equivalent to the annual level of CIAC amortization, and continue this practice until equity reaches 40 percent. AIAC refunds are a cash flow and have no impact on equity. The activity reduces cash and reduces the liability. If the Company were to fund AIAC through additional paid-in-capital, this would increase the cash available to spend on plant-in-service, but if there is no need for paid in plant-in-service, then there is no benefit to the Company or its customers. In regards to adding paid-in-capital each year equivalent to the annual level of CIAC amortization, the initial impact would be to offset the effect on equity by the amortization, and therefore create additional cash flow. As previously stated, without the need for additional plant-in-service, rate base would not be increased, thereby defeating the purpose of such a requirement.

Q.

- STAFF IS RECOMMENDING THAT THE COMPANY DISCONTINUE
  THE PRACTICE OF RECORDING RECEIVABLES TO FARMERS
  INVESTMENT COMPANY (FICO) AND THAT FICO REPAY THE
  RECEIVABLE OWED TO THE COMPANY IN THE AMOUNT OF \$95,023
  WITHIN TWO YEARS. DO YOU AGREE?
- A. No. FICO maintains one banking relationship for all of its operations and has a Working Line of Credit with its bank. Each night any funds on hand are applied against this line of credit balance. Cash transactions are reflected on consolidated bank accounts by subaccounts for each entity/division within FICO. The transactions between FICO and its entities/divisions are done through an intercompany account. Therefore the intercompany account is a cash flow account representing at any time what the cash balance owed or receivable is between FICO and its entities/divisions.

It is in the Company's financial interest to be a part of this system. With FICO having all of its entities/divisions part of one interconnected banking facility, the Company is able to leverage its overall banking needs to obtain better pricing then if each entity/division was to have to seek separate banking and loan funding. With the Company's past earnings history and the current projected earnings, it would be impossible for it to obtain banking at equivalent costs and availability to funds.

# Q. DOES THAT CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?

A. Yes, it does.

### BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

BOB STUMP
Chairman
GARY PIERCE
Commissioner
BRENDA BURNS
Commissioner
BOB BURNS
Commissioner
SUSAN BITTER SMITH

Commissioner

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF FARMERS WATER CO. FOR A DETERMINATION OF THE FAIR VALUE OF ITS UTILITY PLANT AND PROPERTY AND FOR AN INCREASE IN ITS RATES AND CHARGES BASED THEREON.

DOCKET NO: W-01654A-13-0267

# REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF THOMAS J. BOURASSA (RATE BASE, INCOME STATEMENT AND RATE DESIGN)

**April 10, 2014** 

# **Contents**

I.	INTRODUCTION AND QUALIFICATIONS	1
II.	REVENUE REQUIREMENT	2
III.	RATE BASE	2
IV.	INCOME STATEMENT	3
V.	RATE DESIGN (H SCHEDULES)	7
	1. Other Tariff Changes.	10

- 2 | Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND ADDRESS.
- 3 A. My name is Thomas J. Bourassa. My business address is 139 W. Wood Drive, 4 Phoenix, Arizona 85029.
- 5 Q. ON WHOSE BEHALF ARE YOU TESTIFYING IN THIS CASE?
- 6 A. On behalf of the applicant, Farmers Water Company ("Farmers" or the "Company").
- 8 Q. HAVE YOU PREVIOUSLY SUBMITTED DIRECT TESTIMONY IN THE INSTANT CASE?
- 10 A. Yes. My direct testimony was submitted in support of the initial application filed in this matter.
- 12 Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF THIS REBUTTAL TESTIMONY?
- A. I will provide rebuttal testimony in response to the direct filing by Arizona
  Corporation Commission Utilities Division Staff ("Staff"). More specifically, my
  rebuttal testimony relates to the determination of operating income, rate base,
  income statement and rate design.
- 17 Q. WHAT IS THE REVENUE REQUIREMENT ADJUSTMENT THAT THE
  18 COMPANY IS PROPOSING IN ITS REBUTTAL FILING?
- 19 A. The Company is requesting an increase in revenues of \$162,435, an increase of 19.67 percent for a total revenue requirement of \$988,365.
- 21 Q. HOW DOES THIS COMPARE WITH THE REVENUE REQUIREMENT 22 PROPOSED BY THE COMPANY IN ITS DIRECT TESTIMONY?
- A. In the direct filing, the Company requested an increase in revenues of \$186,158, an increase of 22.68 percent for a total revenue requirement of \$1,006,973.
- 25 Q. WHY IS THE REVENUE REQUIREMENT IN THIS REBUTTAL
  26 TESTIMONY LOWER THAN IN THE DIRECT TESTIMONY

The Company has adopted a number of adjustments recommended by Staff, as well as proposed a number of adjustments of its own. The Company continues to propose a 10 percent operating margin as the Company's rate base is negative and a rate of return approach would not be meaningful. Farmer's rebuttal Original Cost Rate Base ("OCRB") and Fair Value Rate Base ("FVRB") have not changed from its direct filing. The OCRB is \$ (15,141). The Company continues to request that its OCRB be treated as its FVRB.

# II. REVENUE REQUIREMENT

# Q. WHAT ARE THE REVENUE REQUIREMENTS AND RATE INCREASES FOR THE COMPANY AND STAFF?

A. The proposed revenue requirements and proposed rate increases are as follows:

	Revenue Requirement	Revenue Incr.	% Increase	
Company-Direct	\$ 1,006,973	\$ 186,158	2268%	
Staff	\$ 976,757	\$ 150,829	18.26%	
Company Rebuttal	\$ 988,365	\$ 162,435	19.67%	

# Q. WHAT IS THE COMPANY'S PROPOSED OPERATING MARGIN?

A. The Company is proposing an operating margin 10.00 percent. This is at the low end of the range (10% to 20%) typically recommended by Staff in cases where an operating margin approach is utilized to determine the revenue requirement. Staff also proposes a 10 percent operating margin.

A.

# III. RATE BASE

- Q. WOULD YOU PLEASE IDENTIFY THE PARTIES' RESPECTIVE RATE BASE RECOMMENDATIONS AT THIS STAGE OF THE PROCEEDING?
- A. The rate bases proposed by all parties in the case are as follows:

1			<u>OCRB</u>	<u>FVRB</u>	
2		Company-Direct	\$(15,141)	\$(15,141)	
3		Staff	\$(15,141)	\$(15,141)	
4		Company Rebuttal	\$(15,141)	\$(15,141)	
5	Q.	WOULD YOU PLEASE	E DISCUSS THE C	COMPANY'S PROPOSEI	D OCRB,
6		AND IDENTIFY ANY	ADJUSTMENTS	THAT YOU HAVE AC	CEPTED
7		FROM STAFF?			
8	A.	Yes. Staff has not propos	sed any adjustments	to the Company's OCRB.	Both the
9		Company and Staff are in agreement on the rate base.			

#### IV. INCOME STATEMENT

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. WOULD YOU PLEASE DISCUSS THE COMPANY'S PROPOSED ADJUSTMENTS TO REVENUES AND EXPENSES AND IDENTIFY ANY ADJUSTMENTS YOU HAVE ACCEPTED FROM STAFF?
- Α. The Company rebuttal adjustments are detailed on rebuttal schedule C-2, pages 1 through 10. The rebuttal income statement with adjustments is shown on rebuttal schedule C-1, pages 1 and 2.

In rebuttal C-2 adjustment number 1, the depreciation expense is annualized. Depreciation expense has not changed from the Company's direct filing as the Company does not propose any rebuttal adjustments to plant-in-service, accumulated depreciation and/or contributions-in-aid of construction. Both Staff and the Company propose the same level of depreciation expense.

Rebuttal C-2 adjustment number 2 reflects the adjustment to property taxes using the Company's rebuttal proposed revenues. The Company and Staff are in agreement on the method of computing property taxes. Further, the Company

Compare Farmers Rebuttal Schedule C-1, page 1 and Staff Schedule CSB-5 for depreciation expense totaling \$255,898.

agrees with Staff on the use of an assessment ratio of 19 percent.<sup>2</sup>

Rebuttal C-2 adjustment number 3 increases other water revenues by \$5,114 and reflects the Company's adoption of the Staff proposed adjustment for revenues received from Pima County for providing consumption data to Pima County.<sup>3</sup>

Rebuttal C-2 adjustment number 4 reduces miscellaneous expense by \$8,311 to reflect the Company's adoption of Staff's recommendation to reclassify \$7,687 of miscellaneous expense to water testing expense and to remove \$624 of meals and entertainment expense.<sup>4</sup> Rebuttal C-2 adjustment number 4 also increases water testing expense to reflect the Company's adoption of Staff's recommendation to reclassify \$7,687 of miscellaneous expense to water testing expense

Rebuttal C-2 adjustment number 5 reduces water testing expense services by \$1,617 and reflects the Company's adoption of Staff's proposed adjustment to reconcile to Staff's recommended water testing expense of \$11.502.<sup>5</sup>

Rebuttal C-2 adjustment number 6 reduces transportation expense by \$5,991 to reflect the Company's adoption of the transportation expense recommended by Staff.<sup>6</sup>

Rebuttal C-2 adjustment number 7 reduces miscellaneous expense by \$7,005 to reflect the Company's revised annualized costs Web and Non-Web banking fees. Staff is recommending a reduction of \$8,530; a difference of \$1,525.7 The difference is due to the difference in the Company and the Staff

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See Direct Testimony of Crystal S. Brown ("Brown Dt.") at 11.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Brown Dt. at 7.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Brown Dt. at 10.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Brown Dt. at 9.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Brown Dt. at 9.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Brown Dt. at 10.

recommended amounts for Web based fees. The Company recommends Web based fees totaling \$5,111 whereas Staff recommends Web based fees totaling \$3,586.8 The Company's proposed amount reflects a full 12 months of fees whereas Staff's recommended amount only reflects 9 months of fees.

# Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN.

- A. The test year expenses did not include any Web based banking fees as the Company's web site did not become operational until after the end of the test year. The Company began incurring Web based banking fees in January 2013 (4 months after the end of the test year and 4 months into Fiscal Year 2013<sup>10</sup>). At the time of its initial filing, the Company estimated the Web based banking fees in its direct based upon the best available information. Since then, the Company has incurred 12 months of actual expenses and proposes to use the 12 months of actual expenses incurred from January 2013 to December 2013, or \$5,111, as its estimate of the fees the Company will incur on a going forward basis.
- Q. HOW DID STAFF DETERMINE THE EXPECTED FULL 12 MONTHS OF WEB BASED BANKING FEES IT RECOMMENDS?
- A. Staff relies on the Company's data request response CSB 2.16b attached hereto as Rebuttal Exhibit TJB-RB-RB1 which shows the full amount of Web based banking fees for Fiscal 2013 year were only incurred from January 2013 through September 2013 and totaled \$3,586. Thus, the Staff recommended Web based banking fee amount does not reflect a full year of expense.
- Q. HOW DID THE COMPANY DETERMINE THE EXPECTED FULL 12 MONTHS OF WEB BASED BANKING FEES IT RECOMMENDS?

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> See Staff Schedule CSB-11.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Brown Dt. at 10.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Fiscal year runs from October 1 to September 30.

A. The Company's proposed Web based banking fee amount of \$5,111 is based upon the 12 months of Web based banking fees the Company incurred from January 2013 to December 2013. These costs are known and measurable and reflect the total costs for a full 12 months rather than 9 months. This information was provided to Staff in the Company's response to data request CSB 3.11 which is attached hereto as Rebuttal Exhibit TJB-RB-RB2.

# Q. THANK YOU. PLEASE CONTINUE WITH YOUR DISCUSSION OF THE COMPANY'S PROPOSED REVENUE AND EXPENSE ADJUSTMENTS.

A. Rebuttal C-2 adjustment number 8 increases salaries and wages by \$624 from \$255,887 to the full annualized 2013 wages of \$256,529. The Company does not agree with Staff's proposed reduction of \$7,996 to salaries and wages and total salaries and wages amount of \$247,891. Staff's recommended adjustment is misplaced based upon the now known and measurable change to the test year.

# Q. DOES THE COMPANY'S REBUTTAL RECOMMENDED SALARIES AND WAGES LEVEL REFLECT ANY 2014 WAGE INCREASES?

A. No. It in its direct filing, the Company projected 2013 and 2014 wages to be \$252,510 and \$255,887. It recommended salaries and wages of \$255,887 which was originally based upon the 2014 projected level of wages. However, the actual wages for 2013 are \$256,529 and do not reflect any expected changes for 2014 Since the \$256,529 is a known and measurable change to the test year and a more realistic level of wages expense the Company expects to incur on a going forward basis, it should be adopted.

# Q. THANK YOU. PLEASE CONTINUE.

A. Rebuttal C-2 adjustment number 9 reflects income taxes calculated at the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Brown Dt. at 7-8.

- 1										
1		Company's proposed revenue and expense levels.								
2	Q.	ARE THE COMPANY AND STAFF IN AGREEMENT ON THE INCOME								
3		TAX RATES?								
4	A.	Yes. 12								
5	Q.	ARE THER ANY REMAINING DISPUTES BETWEEN THE COMPANY								
6		AND STAFF WITH RESPECT TO REVENUES A	AND/OR EXPENSES?							
7	A.	No.								
8	v.	RATE DESIGN (H SCHEDULES).								
9	Q.	WHAT ARE THE COMPANY'S PROPOSED RA	TES?							
10	A.	The Company's proposed rates are:								
11		MONTHLY SERVICE CHARGES								
12		5/8" x 3/4" Meter	\$ 9.07							
13		3/4" Meter	\$ 13.61							
14		1" Meter	\$ 22.68							
15		1 1/2" Meter	\$ 45.35							
16		2" Meter	\$ 72.56							
17		3" Meter	\$145.12							
18		4" Meter	\$226.75							
19		6" Meter	\$453.50							
20		2" Construction/Standpipe (Assigned)	\$72.56							
21		3" Construction/Standpipe (Assigned)	\$247.12							
22		6" Construction/Standpipe (Assigned)	\$453.50							
23										
24		Gallons in minimum	0							

<sup>12</sup> Compare Farmers Rebuttal Schedule C-3, page 2 and Staff Schedule CSB-2.

1	COMMODITY RATES		
2	5/8"X3/4" –Res.	1 to 3,000	\$ 1.35
3		3,001 to 10,000	\$ 2.05
4		Over 10,000	\$ 2.75
5	5/8"X3/4" Meter – Com., Ind., Irr.	1 to 10,000	\$ 2.05
6		Over 10,000	\$ 2.75
7	3/4" – Res	1 to 4,000	\$ 1.44
8		4,001 to 10,000	\$ 2.05
9		Over 10,000	\$ 2.75
10	3/4" Meter – Com., Ind., Irr.	1 to 10,000	\$ 2.05
11		Over 10,000	\$ 2.75
12	1" Meter – All Classes	1 to 12,500	\$ 2.05
13		Over 12,500	\$ 2.75
14	1 ½" Meter – All Classes	1 to 25,000	\$ 2.05
15		Over 25,000	\$ 2.75
16	2" Meter– All Classes	1 to 40,000	\$ 2.05
17		Over 40,000	\$ 2.75
18	3" Meter– All Classes	1 to 80,000	\$ 2.05
19		Over 80,000	\$ 2.75
20	4" Meter- All Classes	1 to 126,000	\$ 2.05
21		Over 126,000	\$ 2.75
22	6" Meter– All Classes	1 to 250,000	\$ 2.05
23		Over 250,000	\$ 2.75
24	2" Meter Construction/Standpipe:		
25	Individually Assigned Customer	1 to 40,000	\$ 2.05
26		Over 40,000	\$ 2.75

1		No Assigned Customer	All gallons	\$ 2.75
2				
3		3" Meter Construction/Standpipe:		
4		Individually Assigned Customer	1 to 80,000	\$ 2.05
5			Over 80,000	\$ 2.75
6		No Assigned Customer	All gallons	\$ 2.75
7				
8		6" Meter Construction/Standpipe:		
9		Individually Assigned Customer	1 to 250,000	\$ 2.05
10			Over 250,000	\$ 2.75
11		No Assigned Customer	All gallons	\$ 2.75
12				
13	Q.	WHAT WILL BE THE 5/8X3/4 INCH	I RESIDENTIAL C	USTOMER
14		AVERAGE MONTHLY BILL UNDER THI	E NEW RATES?	
15	A.	As shown on Rebuttal Schedule H-2, page	1, the average monthly	y bill under
16		proposed rates for a 5/8x3/4 inch residential	customer using an av	rerage 5,334
17		gallons is \$17.91 - a \$1.72 increase over the	ne present monthly bill	or a 10.63
18		percent increase.		
19	Q.	IS THE COMPANY PROPOSING ANY CI	HANGES TO THE CO	)MPANY'S
20		DIRECT PROPOSED RATE DESIGN?		
21		Ves There are two shanges First the Compar	ıv has adonted Staff's re	noommondad
21	Α.	Yes. There are two changes. First, the Compan	ly has adopted Staff STC	commended
22	A.	break-over points for the 5/8x3/4 residential cus	•	
	A.	•	stomers of 3,000 gallons	s and 10,000

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> See Staff Schedule CSB-15, page 1 of 3.

22.

monthly minimum service charge for the ¾ and larger meters. Under the Staff approach the larger meter monthly minimum service charges are scaled relative to the flows of a 5/8x3/4 inch meter, e.g. the monthly minimum for a ¾ inch meter is equal to the monthly minimum for a 5/8 inch meter times the AWWA meter flow factor of 1.5.

### Q. PLEASE COMMENT ON THE STAFF RATE DESIGN.

- A. The Staff rates produce too much revenue; by my estimation about \$26,000. I have contacted Staff about the issue. Having said that, because of discrepancy in the revenue generated by the Staff rate design it is difficult to meaningfully compare how each of the parties recover revenues through the rates (e.g. monthly minimums vs. commodity rates).
  - 1. Other Tariff Changes.
- Q. ARE THERE ANY DISPUTES BETWEEN STAFF AND THE COMPANY ON THE COMPANY PROPOSED MISCELLANEOUS CHARGES AND METER AND SERVICE LINE INSTALLATION CHARGES?
- A. No. The Company and Staff are in agreement.
  - VI. EQUITY IMPROVEMENT PLAN
- Q. DO YOU HAVE ANY COMMENTS ON THE STAFF TESTIMONY REGARDING THE COMPANY'S EQUITY IMPROVEMENT PLAN.
  - A. Yes. I have four comments. First, the Company has followed its equity improvement plan and it has had positive and meaningful results. In other words, the plan is working. Since the last rate case, rate base has improved by over \$733,000 from a negative \$748,646<sup>14</sup> (Decision 71510) to a negative \$15,141 in the instant case. It has accomplished that by using nearly \$1.6 million of its own

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> See Decision 71510 dated March 17, 2010.

20

2223

capital for plant improvements since the last test year. Further, the Company's equity balance has improved from a negative \$440,202 in the last rate case to a positive \$125,427 in the instant case. This is despite several years of net losses that occurred since the end of the last test tear (12 months ended September 30, 2007)

Third, the Staff recommended requirement that the Company's rate base should have 20 percent equity within 5 years, 30 percent equity within seven years, and 40 percent equity within ten years are arbitrary benchmarks that are not entirely in control of the Company.<sup>15</sup> The amount of capital the Company may have the opportunity to invest in plant-in-service is largely conditioned upon future growth, plant capacity needs, and/or needed plant replacements in the future. The Company cannot control how much growth will occur in the future and similarly cannot control how much additional backbone facilities (capacity) it will need to address growth. While the Company is willing to provide the capital as needed (and in a balanced way) in the future, investing in plant for the sake of investing in Only plant investment that is prudent and used and plant does not make sense. useful will be recognized in rate base. Staff engineering witness, Mr. Lui, concludes that the Company currently has sufficient production and storage capacity to serve existing customers plus reasonable growth. Opportunities for future capital investment in production and storage capacity are limited under reasonable growth assumptions.

Fourth, Staff's recommendations that the Company fund AIAC refunds through additional paid-in-capital and add paid-in-capital to an annual level of CIAC amortization do little, if anything, to improve rate base nor improve the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> Brown Dt. at 17.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> See Direct Testimony of Mr. Jian W. Liu ("Liu Dt.") at 6.

8

percentage of equity funding the rate base.<sup>17</sup> This is because net plant-in-service is declining (by virtue of annual depreciation) at a rate similar the AIAC refund rate and the CIAC amortization rate.

#### DO YOU HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS? Q.

Not at this time. I would note that Ms. Triana also addresses the Staff testimony A. on this subject in her rebuttal testimony.

#### Q. DOES THAT CONCLUDE YOUR REBUTTAL TESTIMONY?

Yes. A.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> Brown Dt. at 18.

# FARMERS WATER CO. Docket No. W-01654A-13-0267

Bourassa Testimony Rebuttal Schedules

Test Year Ended September 30, 2012 Computation of Increase in Gross Revenue Requirements As Adjusted

Exhibit Rebuttal Schedule A-1 Page 1 Witness: Bourassa

Line								
<u>No.</u>		_						
1	Fair Value Rate	e Base				\$	(15,141)	
2								
3	Adjusted Opera	ating Income					(41,737)	
4	0	S.D. Arrow					N1/A	
5	Current Rate o	r Return					N/A	
6	Descriped Ones	adina Incom				•	00.000	
7 8	Required Oper	ating income				\$	98,836	
9	Required Oper	rating Marain					10.00%	
10	Required Oper	ating Margin					10.00%	
11	Operating Inco	me Deficiency				\$	140,573	
12	Operating moo	The Bendicitoy				Ψ	140,575	
13	Gross Revenue	e Conversion Factor					1.1555	
14	CIOSS I CVCIIC	o conversion r actor					1.1000	
15	Increase in Gro	oss Revenue						
16	Requirement					\$	162,435	
17						•	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
18	Adjusted Test '	Year Revenues				\$	825,929	
19	Increase in Gro	oss Revenue Revenue Requirement				\$	162,435	
20	Proposed Reve	enue Requirement				\$	988,365	
21	% Increase						19.67%	
22								
23	Customer			Present	Proposed		Dollar	Percent
24	<u>Classification</u>			Rates	<u>Rates</u>		<u>Increase</u>	<u>Increase</u>
25	5/8x3/4 Inch	Residential	\$	475,171	\$ 521,870	\$	46,700	9.83%
26	1 Inch	Residential		80,520	120,276		39,756	49.37%
27								
28	1 1/2 Inch	Multi-Family		6,270	8,459		2,190	34.92%
29	2 Inch	Multi-Family		27,394	37,147		9,753	35.60%
30	3 Inch	Multi-Family		5,450	9,428		3,978	73.00%
31	4 Inch	Multi-Family		11,907	14,571		2,664	22.37%
32 33	6 Inch	Multi-Family		7,431	10,792		3,361	45.23%
33 34	5/8x3/4 Inch	Commercial		2.679	2.944		265	9.89%
35	1 Inch	Commercial		5,592	7,486		1,894	33.88%
36	1 1/2 Inch	Commercial		3,775	5,745		1,970	52.20%
37	2 Inch	Commercial		26,353	38,680		12,327	46.78%
38	3 Inch	Commercial		4,452	5,799		1,347	30.25%
39	••			.,	5,. 55		.,	00.2070
40	5/8x3/4 Inch	Industrial		971	1,067		96	9.90%
41	1 Inch	Industrial		4,086	5,040		954	23.35%
42	2 Inch	Industrial		66,212	75,130		8,918	13.47%
43								
44	5/8x3/4 Inch	Irrigation		5,300	6,069		769	14.51%
45	1 Inch	Irrigation		16,922	24,598		7,676	45.36%
46	1 1/2 Inch	Irrigation		3,473	5,424		1,951	56.16%
47	2 Inch	Irrigation		50,327	64,426		14,098	28.01%
48								
49	2 Inch	Standpipe		388	436		48	12.24%
50	6 Inch	Standpipe		4,340	4,872		531	12.24%
51					* **			<b>30.00</b>
52	Revenue Annu	alization	_	1,840	 3,196		1,355	73.66%

810,853 \$

(12)

825,930 \$

15,089

973,454 \$

(178)

988,365 \$

15,089

162,601

162,435

(166)

20.05%

0.00%

0.00%

19.67%

1383.33%

**SUPPORTING SCHEDULES:** 

Other Water Revenues

Rounding
Total of Water Revenues

**Reconciling Amount** 

62 B-1 63 C-1

53

54

55

56

57

58

59 60

61

Subtotal

Line

C-3 65 H-1

Test Year Ended September 30, 2012 Summary of Rate Base

Exhibit

Rebuttal Schedule B-1 Page 1 Witness: Bourassa

Line <u>No.</u>		riginal Cost Rate base	Fair Value <u>Rate Base</u>			
1 2 3	Gross Utility Plant in Service Less: Accumulated Depreciation	\$ 11,992,014 3,246,181	\$	11,992,014 3,246,181		
4 5 6	Net Utility Plant in Service	\$ 8,745,834	\$	8,745,834		
7 8	Less: Advances in Aid of Construction	5,650,367		5,650,367		
9 10 11	Contributions in Aid of Construction	3,012,974		3,012,974		
12 13	Accumulated Amortization of CIAC	(322,660)		(322,660)		
14 15 16 17 18	Customer Meter Deposits Deferred Income Taxes & Credits	420,294 -		420,294 -		
19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	Plus: Unamortized Finance Charges Prepayments Materials and Supplies Allowance for Working Capital	- - - -		- - - -		
27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41	Total Rate Base	\$ (15,141)	\$	(15,141)		
42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52	SUPPORTING SCHEDULES: B-2 B-3 B-5 E-1					

Test Year Ended September 30, 2012 Original Cost Rate Base Proforma Adjustments

Exhibit

Rebuttal Schedule B-2 Page 1 Witness: Bourassa

Line <u>No.</u>		Adjusted at End of Test Year	Proforma <u>Adjustment</u>		Rebuttal at end of <u>Test Year</u>
1 2 3	Gross Utility Plant in Service	\$ 11,992,014	-	\$	11,992,014
4 5	Less: Accumulated				
6 7 8	Depreciation	 3,246,181	-		3,246,181
9 10 11	Net Utility Plant in Service	\$ 8,745,834		\$	8,745,834
12 13	Less: Advances in Aid of	5.050.007	·		5.050.007
14 15 16	Construction  Contributions in Aid of	5,650,367	-		5,650,367
17 18	Construction - Gross	3,012,974	-		3,012,974
19 20	Accumulated Amortization of CIAC	(322,660)	-		(322,660)
21 22 23 24 25	Customer Meter Deposits Accumulated Deferred Income Tax	420,294 -	-		420,294 - - -
26 27	Plus: Unamortized Finance				
28 29	Charges Prepayments	-	-		-
30	Materials and Supplies	-	-		-
31 32 33	Working capital	-	-		-
34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41	Total	\$ (15,141)		\$	(15,141)
42 43 44 45	SUPPORTING SCHEDULES:			DECAR S	CHEDULES:
46 47 48 49 50	B-2, pages 2 E-1			B-1	VIICUOLES.

Farmers Water Co. Test Year Ended September 30, 2012 Original Cost Rate Base Proforma Adjustments

Exhibit Rebuttal Schedule B-2 Page 2 Witness: Bourassa

				Proforma Adjustments						Rebuttal						
			Adjusted		<u>1</u>		<u>2</u>			3		4		<u>5</u>		Adjusted
Line			at End of		Plant-in-	Acc	umulate	d		ntionally Left	inte	entionally Left	Inte	entionally Left		at end of
No.			Test Year		Service		preciation			lank		Blank		Blank		Test Year
1	Gross Utility				<del></del>			-	_				•			
2	Plant in Service	\$	11,992,014		-										\$	11,992,014
4	Less:															
5	Accumulated															
6	Depreciation		3,246,181				-									3,246,181
7		_														, , ,
8	No. 1 Mills - Dinas															
9 10	Net Utility Plant in Service	\$	8,745,834	æ		\$			\$		\$		\$		\$	8,745,834
11	in Service	Φ	0,740,034	Φ	-	Ψ	-		Φ	-	Ψ	_	Ψ	-	Ψ	0,740,004
12	Less:															
13	Advances in Aid of															
14	Construction		5,650,367													5,650,367
15																
16	Contributions in Aid of															
17	Construction (CIAC)		3,012,974													3,012,974
18																
19	Accumulated Amort of CIAC		(322,660)													(322,660)
20	0.1		400.004													400.004
21	Customer Meter Deposits Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes		420,294													420,294
22 23	Accumulated Deferred income Taxes		-													_
24																
25	Plus:															
26	Unamortized Finance															
27	Charges		-													-
28	Prepayments		-													-
29	Materials and Supplies		-													•
30	Allowance for Cash Working Capital		-							•						-
31		_	4.=												_	775 774
32	Total	\$	(15,141)	\$		\$	-		\$	-	\$		\$	•	\$	(15,141)
33																
34																
35	CURRORTING COUERUITE.												DEC	AP SCHED	=0.	
36 37	SUPPORTING SCHEDULES: B-2, pages 3-5												B-1	AF SUNED	ULES:	
37 38	E-1												D.,			
39																
40																

Farmers Water Co.
Test Year Ended September 30, 2012
Original Cost Rate Base Proforma Adjustments
Adjustment Number 1

Plant-in-Service

Exhibit Rebuttal Schedule B-2 Page 3 Witness: Bourassa

Line						Adjustments			
<u>No.</u> 1				Α	<u>B</u>	<u> </u>	<u>D</u>	E	
2				Δ	₽	-	· -	_	Rebuttal
3	Acct.		Adjusted Orginal	Adjustments To Reconcile Plant	Intentionally Left	Intentionally Left	Intentionally Left	Intentionally Left	Adjusted Original
5	No.	Description	Cost	To Reconstruction	Blank	Blank	Blank	* Blank	Cost
6	301	Organization Cost	6,893	-		<del></del>	<del></del>		6,893
7	302	Franchise Cost		-	*				•
8	303	Land and Land Rights							•
9	304	Structures and Improvements	173,667	-				•	173,667
10	305	Collecting and Impounding Res.		-					• '
11	306	Lake River and Other Intakes	-	-					-
12	307	Wells and Springs	695,019	-					695,019
13	308	Infiltration Galleries and Tunnels	•						-
14	309	Supply Mains	-	-					
15	310	Power Generation Equipment	-	•					•
16	311	Electric Pumping Equipment	578,087	•					578,087
17	320	Water Treatment Equipment	-						•
18	320.1	Water Treatment Plant	-	•					·
19	320.2	Chemical Solution Feeders	1,060	•					1,060
20	330	Dist. Reservoirs & Standpipe	•	•					•
21	330.1		892,565	•					892,565
22	330.2	Pressure Tanks	51,164	•					51,164
23	331	Trans, and Dist. Mains	7,563,919	•					7,563,919
24	333	Services	912,023	-					912,023
25	334	Meters	639,567	•					639,567
26	335	Hydrants	318,441						318,441
27	336	Backflow Prevention Devices	-	•					•
28	339	Other Plant and Misc. Equip.		-					1,170
29	340	Office Furniture and Fixtures	1,170	-					108,718
30	340.1	Computers and Software	108,718	•					49,719
31	341	Transportation Equipment	49,719	-					43,713
32	342	Stores Equipment	•	• ,					_
33	343	Tools and Work Equipment	•	•					
34	344	Laboratory Equipment	•	•					_
35	345	Power Operated Equipment	•	•					
36	346	Communications Equipment	•	•					
37	347	Miscellaneous Equipment	•	•					
38	348	Other Tangible Plant	2	•					2
39		Rounding TOTALS	\$ 11,992,014	<u> </u>	s -	\$ -	s -	\$ -	\$ 11,992,014
40		TOTALS	\$ 11,552,014	φ -	- ·	•	•	•	•,00=,0
41	Diant in	n-Service per Books							\$ 11,992,014
42 43	Plant-ir	-Service per books							<u> </u>
44	Increas	se (decrease) in Plant-in-Service							<b>s</b> -
45	increas	e (decrease) in Fiant-III-Service							
46	Adiusto	ment to Plant-in-Service							<b>s</b> -
47	rujusu	TOTAL OF MATERIA CONTROL							***************************************
47	SHIDD	ORTING SCHEDULES							
48 49		iges 3.1							
50	υ-2, pa	iges J. I							
50									

### Test Year Ended September 30, 2012 Original Cost Rate Base Proforma Adjustments Adjustment Number 1 -A

Exhibit Rebuttal Schedule B-2 Page 3.1 Witness: Bourassa

Line No.							
1					Rebuttal		
2 3			Adjusted		Adjusted	Plant	
4	Acct.		Orginal	B-2	Orginal	Per	
5	No.	Description	Cost	Adjustments	Cost	Reconstruction	Difference
6	301	Organization Cost	6,893	-	6,893	6,893	-
7	302	Franchise Cost	-	-	-	-	· -
8	303	Land and Land Rights	-	_	-	-	-
9	304	Structures and Improvements	173,667	-	173.667	173.667	-
10	305	Collecting and Impounding Res.	-	-	, <u>-</u>	, <u>-</u>	-
11	306	Lake River and Other Intakes	_	-	-	-	-
12	307	Wells and Springs	695,019	-	695,019	695,019	-
13	308	Infiltration Galleries and Tunnels	-	-	-	-	-
14	309	Supply Mains	-	-	-	-	-
15	310	Power Generation Equipment	-	-	-	-	-
16	311	Electric Pumping Equipment	578,087	-	578,087	578,087	-
17	320	Water Treatment Equipment	-	-	-	-	-
18	320.1	Water Treatment Plant	-	-	-	-	-
19	320.2	Chemical Solution Feeders	1,060	-	1,060	1,060	-
20	330	Dist. Reservoirs & Standpipe	-	-	-	-	-
21	330.1	Storage tanks	892,565	-	892,565	892,565	-
22	330.2	Pressure Tanks	51,164	-	51,164	51,164	-
23	331	Trans. and Dist. Mains	7,563,919	_	7,563,919	7,563,919	-
24	333	Services	912,023	-	912,023	912,023	-
25	334	Meters	639,567	-	639,567	639,567	-
26	335	Hydrants	318,441	-	318,441	318,441	-
27	336	Backflow Prevention Devices	-	-	-	-	-
28	339	Other Plant and Misc. Equip.	-	-	=	-	-
29	340	Office Furniture and Fixtures	1,170	-	1,170	, -	-
30	340.1	Computers and Software	108,718	-	108,718	108,718	-
31	341	Transportation Equipment	49,719	-	49,719	49,719	-
32	342	Stores Equipment	-	-	-	-	-
33	343	Tools and Work Equipment	-	-	-	-	-
34	344	Laboratory Equipment	-	-	-	-	-
35	345	Power Operated Equipment	-	-	-	-	-
36	346	Communications Equipment	-		-	-	-
37	347	Miscellaneous Equipment	-	-	-	-	-
38	348	Other Tangible Plant	-	-	-	-	- ,
39		Rounding	-	-	-	-	
40		TOTALS	\$ 11,992,012	\$ -	\$ 11,992,012	\$ 11,992,012	\$ -
41							
42	01.155.5	DTING 0011501115					
43		RTING SCHEDULE					
44 45	B-2, pag	ges 3.2 - 3.6					
40							

# Farmers Water Co. Test Year Ended September 30, 2012 Original Cost Rate Base Proforma Adjustments Adjustment Number 2

Accumulated Depreciation

Exhibit Rebuttal Schedule B-2 Page 4 Witness: Bourassa

			Accumulated Depred	ciation					
Line									
<u>No.</u>						<u>Adjustments</u>			
1				Δ	<u>B</u>	<u>C</u>	<u>D</u>	<u>E</u>	
2									Rebuttal
3			Adjusted	Adjustments	Intentionally	Intentionally	Intentionally	Intentionally	Adjusted
4	Acct.		Accum.	To Reconcile Plant	Left	Left	Left	Left	Accum.
5	No.	<u>Description</u>	<u>Depr.</u>	To Reconstruction	<u>Blank</u>	<u>Blank</u>	<u>Blank</u>	<u>Blank</u>	Depr.
6	301	Organization Cost	•	•					•
7	302		-	-					-
8	303		•	•					•
9	304		15,823	•					15,823
10	305		•	•					-
11	306		-	-					-
12	307	Wells and Springs	439,315	-					439,315
13	308	Infiltration Galleries and Tunnels	-	-					•
14	309	Supply Mains	•	-					•
15	310		-	-					-
16	311	Electric Pumping Equipment	317,355	-					317,355
17	320	Water Treatment Equipment	-	•					•
18		Water Treatment Plant	-	-					-
19		Chemical Solution Feeders	1,060	•					1,060
20	330	Dist. Reservoirs & Standpipe	-	-					-
21		Storage tanks	246,911	•					246,911
22		Pressure Tanks	3,837	-					3,837
23	331	Trans. and Dist. Mains	1,535,306	-					1,535,306
24	333	Services	312,154	-					312,154
25	334	Meters	154,987	•					154,987
26	335	Hydrants	89,610	•					89,610
27	336	Backflow Prevention Devices	-	•					-
28	339	Other Plant and Misc. Equip.	-	• *					
29	340	Office Furniture and Fixtures	39	•					39
30	340.1	Computers and Software	90,557	=					90,557
31	341	Transportation Equipment	39,226	=					39,226
32	342	Stores Equipment	•	-					•
33	343	Tools and Work Equipment	-	•					-
34	344	Laboratory Equipment	-	-					-
35	345	Power Operated Equipment	-	•					-
36	346	Communications Equipment		-					-
37	347	Miscellaneous Equipment	-	. •					-
38	348	Other Tangible Plant	-	-					-
39		Rounding	-						-
40		TOTALS	\$ 3,246,181	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 3,246,181
41									
42	Accum	ulated Depreciation per Books							\$ 3,246,181
43									
44	Increas	e (decrease) in Accumulated Dep	reciation						s -
45		,	•						
46	Adjustn	nent to Accumulated Depreciation							\$ -
47	.,								
48	SUPPO	ORTING SCHEDULES							
49		ges 4.1							
50	, po	<b>9</b>							

## Farmers Water Co. Test Year Ended September 30, 2012 Original Cost Rate Base Proforma Adjustments Adjustment Number 2 -A

Exhibit Rebuttal Schedule B-2 Page 4.1 Witness: Bourassa

Line No.							
1					<b>5</b>		
2			Adjusted		Rebuttal Adjusted	Accumulated Depreciation	
3 4	Acct.		Adjusted Accumulated	B-2	Accumulated	Per Plant	
5	No.	Description	Depreciation	Adjustments	Depreciation	Reconstruction	Difference
6	301	Organization Cost	-	-	-	-	-
7	302	Franchise Cost	-	-	-	-	-
8	303	Land and Land Rights	-	-	-	-	-
9	304	Structures and Improvements	15,823	-	15,823	15,823	-
10	305	Collecting and Impounding Res.	-	-	-	-	-
11	306	Lake River and Other Intakes	-	-	-	=	-
12	307	Wells and Springs	439,315	-	439,315	439,315	-
13	308	Infiltration Galleries and Tunnels	-	-	-	-	-
14	309	Supply Mains	-	-	-	-	-
15	310	Power Generation Equipment	-	-	-	-	-
16	311	Electric Pumping Equipment	317,355	-	317,355	317,355	-
17	320	Water Treatment Equipment	•	-	-	-	-
18		Water Treatment Plant	4.000	-	1,060	1,060	-
19	320.2	Chemical Solution Feeders	1,060	-	1,000	1,000	-
20	330.1	Dist. Reservoirs & Standpipe	- 246,911	-	246.911	246,911	-
21 22		Storage tanks Pressure Tanks	3,837	-	3,837	3,837	_
23	330.2	Trans, and Dist. Mains	1,535,306	_	1.535,306	1,535,306	-
24	333	Services	312,154	_	312,154	312,154	-
25	334	Meters	154,987	_	154,987	154,987	_
26	335	Hydrants	89,610	_	89,610	89,610	-
27	336	Backflow Prevention Devices		-	-	·_	-
28	339	Other Plant and Misc. Equip.	-	_	-	-	-
29	340	Office Furniture and Fixtures	39	-	39	39	-
30	340.1	Computers and Software	90,557	-	90,557	90,557	-
31	341	Transportation Equipment	39,226	-	39,226	39,226	-
32	342	Stores Equipment	-	-	-	-	-
33	343	Tools and Work Equipment	-	-	-	-	-
34	344	Laboratory Equipment	-	-	-	-	-
35	345	Power Operated Equipment	-	-	-	-	-
36	346	Communications Equipment	-	-	-	-	-
37	347	Miscellaneous Equipment	-	-	-	-	-
38	348	Other Tangible Plant	-	-	-	-	-
39		Rounding				e 2.046.404	<u> </u>
40		TOTALS	\$ 3,246,181	<b>5</b> -	\$ 3,246,181	\$ 3,246,181	\$ -
41							
42	ei inno	DTING SCHEDINE					
43 44		RTING SCHEDULE ges 3.2 - 3.6					
44 45	6-2, pa	yes 3.2 - 3.0					
70							

Test Year Ended September 30, 2012 Computation of Working Capital

SUPPORTING SCHEDULES: E-1

Exhibit Rebuttal Schedule B-5 Page 1

Witness: Bourassa

RECAP SCHEDULES: B-1

Line <u>No.</u>			
1	Cash Working Capital (1/8 of Allowance		
	Operation and Maintenance Expense)	\$	63,135
2 3	Pumping Power (1/24 of Pumping Power)	Ψ	3,370
4	Purchased Water (1/24 of Purchased Water)		3,370
5	Prepaid Expenses		(2,095)
6	Frepaid Expenses		(2,093)
7			
8			
9	Total Working Capital Allowance	\$	64,410
10	Total Working Capital Allowance	Ψ	017,710
11			
12	Working Capital Requested	-\$	
13	Working Capital Nequested	<u> </u>	<del></del>
14			
15			
16			
17		Adjuste	ed Test Year
18	Total Operating Expense	<u>/ (4)4010</u>	867,666
19	Less:	Ψ	001,000
20	Income Tax	\$	(5,870)
21	Property Tax	*	31,677
22	Depreciation		255,898
23	Purchased Water		
24	Pumping Power		80,882
25	Allowable Expenses	\$	505,080
26	1/8 of allowable expenses	\$	63,135
27	170 of allowable expenses		00,100
28			
20	CURRORTING COUERUILES.	DECAR SCHEDUI	EQ.

#### Farmers Water Co. Test Year Ended September 30, 2012 Income Statement

Exhibit Rebuttal Schedule C-1 Page 1 Witness: Bourassa

		_					Rebuttal				
			est Year				Test Year		Proposed		Adjusted
Line			Adjusted				Adjusted		Rate		vith Rate
<u>No.</u>	<b>-</b>		Results	<u>Ad</u>	<u>justment</u>		<u>Results</u>		Increase	ļ	Increase
1	Revenues	_				_		_		_	
2	Metered Water Revenues	\$	810,840	\$	-	\$	810,840	\$	162,435	\$	973,276
3	Unmetered Water Revenues						<del>.</del>				-
4	Other Water Revenues		9,975		5,114	_	15,089				15,089
5	<b>. .</b>	\$	820,815	\$	5,114	\$	825,929	\$	162,435	\$	988,365
6	Operating Expenses	_				_				_	
7	Salaries and Wages	\$	255,887		642	\$	256,529			\$	256,529
8	Purchased Water		<del>.</del>		-		-				-
9	Purchased Power		80,882		-		80,882				80,882
10	Fuel For Power Production		-		-		-				-
11	Chemicals		-		-		-				-
12	Repairs and Maintenance		8,836		-		8,836				8,836
13	Office Supplies and Expense		8,007		-		8,007				8,007
14	Outside Services		83,317		-		83,317				83,317
15	Water Testing		5,432		6,070		11,502				11,502
16	Rents		-		-		-				-
17	Transportation Expenses		28,707		(5,991)		22,716				22,716
18	Insurance - General Liability		5,848				5,848				5,848
19	Insurance - Health and Life		30,261		_		30,261				30,261
20	Reg. Comm. Exp Other		· <u>-</u>		_		´-				· <u>-</u>
21	Reg. Comm. Exp Rate Case		12,500		_		12,500				12,500
22	Miscellaneous Expense		61,385		(15,316)		46,069				46,069
23	Bad Debt Expense		· <u>-</u>		-		<b>-</b>				-
24	Depreciation and Amortization Expense		255,898		(0)		255,898				255,898
25	Taxes Other Than Income		19,495		- '		19,495				19,495
26	Property Taxes		33,136		(1,459)		31,677		2,090		33,767
27	Income Tax		(8,481)		2,611		(5,870)		19,772		13,902
28	Total Operating Expenses	\$	881,110	\$	(13,444)	\$	867,666	\$	21,862	\$	889,528
29	Operating Income	\$	(60,295)	<u>\$</u>	18,558		(41,737)	-7	140,573	_	98,836
30	Other Income (Expense)	•	(00,=00)	•	.0,000	•	(11,107)	۳	0,0.0	•	00,000
31	Interest Income		_		_		_				_
32	Other income		8,689		(5,114)		3,575				3,575
33	Interest Expense		-		(0,114)						0,010
34	Other Expense		_		_		_				-
35	Carol Experies		-		_		_				-
36	Total Other Income (Expense)	\$	8,689	\$	(5,114)	\$	3,575	\$		\$	3,575
37	Net Profit (Loss)	\$	(51,606)	\$	13,444	\$	(38,162)		140,573	\$	102,411
38	Hot. Font (Loss)	<u>=Ψ</u>	(31,000)	Ψ	13,444	Ψ	(30, 102)	Ψ	140,573	Ψ	102,411
30	CURRORTING COURTS IN EC.								0.0000000		_

SUPPORTING SCHEDULES: C-1, page 2.1 and 2.2 E-2

40 41

39

42

RECAP SCHEDULES:

A-1

Farmers Water Co. Test Year Ended September 30, 2012 Income Statement Exhibit Rebuttal Schedule C-1 Page 2.1 Witness: Bourassa

			EL>>>>		1		<u>2</u>		<u>3</u>	4		<u>5</u>		<u>6</u>
Line		-	est Year djusted			Р	roperty	Of	her Water	Misc.	v	Vater	Trans	portation
No.			Results	Den	reciation		Taxes		Revenues	Expense		esting		pense
1	Revenues		- TOOOHO	200	- COIGISTI						_			
2	Metered Water Revenues	\$	810,840											
3	Unmetered Water Revenues		-											
4	Other Water Revenues		9,975						5,114					
5		\$	820,815	\$		\$	-	\$	5,114 \$	-			\$	
6	Operating Expenses													
7	Salaries and Wages	\$	255,887											
8	Purchased Water		-											
9	Purchased Power		80,882											
10	Fuel For Power Production		-											
11	Chemicals		-											
12	Repairs and Maintenance		8,836											
13	Office Supplies and Expense		8,007											
14	Outside Services		83,317											
15	Water Testing		5,432							7,687		(1,617)		
16	Rents		-											
17	Transportation Expenses		28,707											(5,991)
18	Insurance - General Liability		5,848											
19	Insurance - Health and Life		30,261											
20	Reg. Comm. Exp Other													
21	Reg. Comm. Exp Rate Case		12,500											
22	Miscellaneous Expense		61,385							(8,311)				
23	Bad Debt Expense		•											
24	Deprec. and Amort. Exp.		255,898		(0)									
25	Taxes Other Than Income		19,495											
26	Property Taxes		33,136				(1,459)							
27	Income Tax		(8,481)											
28	Total Operating Expenses	\$	881,110	\$	(0)	\$	(1,459)	\$	- \$	(624)	\$	(1,617)	\$	(5,991)
29	Operating Income	\$	(60,295)	\$	0	\$	1,459	\$	5,114 \$	624	\$	1,617	\$	5,991
30	Other Income (Expense)													
31	Interest Income		-											
32	Other income		8,689						(5,114)					
33	Interest Expense													
34	Other Expense													
35	·													
36	Total Other Income (Expense)	\$	8,689	\$	-	\$	-	\$	(5,114) \$		\$		\$	
37	Net Profit (Loss)	\$	(51,606)	\$	0	\$	1,459	\$	- \$	624	\$	1,617	\$	5,991
38	• •	-											-	
39	SUPPORTING SCHEDULES:													
40	C-2													

Farmers Water Co. Test Year Ended September 30, 2012 Income Statement

Exhibit Rebuttal Schedule C-1 Page 2.2 Witness: Bourassa

Line		В	Z anking	\$	<u>8</u> Salaries	9 Income	<u>10</u> Intention Left	•	11 Intentionally Left	T	Rebuttal est Year Adjusted	Р	roposed Rate		Adjusted ith Rate
No.			Fees	8	Wages	<u>Taxes</u>	Blank	<u> </u>	Blank		Results	Ŀ	ncrease	Ŀ	ncrease
1	Revenues														
2	Metered Water Revenues									\$	810,840	\$	162,435	\$	973,276
3	Unmetered Water Revenues										-				-
4	Other Water Revenues										15,089				15,089
5		\$	•	\$	-					\$	825,929	\$	162,435	\$	988,365
6	Operating Expenses														
7	Salaries and Wages				642					\$	256,529			\$	256,529
8	Purchased Water										-				-
9	Purchased Power										80,882				80,882
10	Fuel For Power Production										-				-
11	Chemicals														-
12	Repairs and Maintenance										8,836				8,836
13	Office Supplies and Expense										8,007				8,007
14	Outside Services										83,317				83,317
15	Water Testing										11,502				11,502
16	Rents										-				-
17	Transportation Expenses										22,716				22,716
18	Insurance - General Liability										5,848				5,848
19	Insurance - Health and Life										30,261				30,261
20	Reg. Comm. Exp Other										-				-
21	Reg. Comm. Exp Rate Case										12,500				12,500
22	Miscellaneous Expense		(7,005)	)							46,069				46,069
23	Bad Debt Expense		• • •								-				-
24	Deprec. and Amort. Exp.										255,898				255,898
25	Taxes Other Than Income										19,495				19,495
26	Property Taxes										31,677		2,090		33,767
27	Income Tax					2,61	1				(5,870)		19,772		13,902
28	Total Operating Expenses	\$	(7,005	) \$	642	\$ 2,61	1 \$	-	\$ -	\$	867,666	\$	21,862	\$	889,528
29	Operating Income	\$	7,005	\$	(642)	\$ (2,61	1) \$	-	\$ -	\$	(41,737)	\$	140,573	\$	98,836
30	Other Income (Expense)				, ,	. , .	, .						-		
31	Interest Income										-				-
32	Other income										3,575				3,575
33	Interest Expense										-				-
34	Other Expense										_				-
35											-				_
36	Total Other Income (Expense)	\$		\$		\$ -	\$	-	\$ -	\$	3,575	\$	-	\$	3,575
37	Net Profit (Loss)	\$	7.005		(642)			-	\$ -	Š	(38,162)		140,573	\$	102,411
38	,	Ť	.,	_	\- /=/	. ()	<del></del>				- 2-/	÷	,	÷	
39	SUPPORTING SCHEDULES:											RF	CAP SCH	ŧΕD'	ULFS:
40	C-2												-1, page 1		×
70	· .											_	., page i		

#### Farmers Water Co. Test Year Ended September 30, 2012 Adjustments to Revenues and Expenses

Exhibit Rebuttal Schedule C-2 Page 1 Witness: Bourassa

Line				ts to Revenues and		_		
<u>No.</u>		1	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>6</u>	
1 2 3 4	Revenues	<u>Depreciation</u>	Property <u>Taxes</u>	Other Water <u>Revenues</u> 5,114	Misc. <u>Expense</u>	Water <u>Testing</u>	Transportation Expense	Subtotal 5,114
5	<b>-</b>	(0)	(4.450)		(624)	(1,617)	(5,991)	(9,692)
6 7	Expenses	(0)	(1,459)		(024)	(1,017)	(0,991)	(9,092)
8 9 10	Operating Income	0	1,459	5,114	624	1,617	5,991	14,806
11 12	Interest Expense						-	-
13 14 15	Other Income / Expense			(5,114)				(5,114)
16 17	Net Income	0	1,459	_	624	1,617	5,991	9,692
18 19								
20				nts to Revenues and				
21		<u>7</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>9</u>	10	11 Intentionally	<u>12</u>	
22 23 24		Banking <u>Fees</u>	Salaries & Wages	Income Taxes	Intentionally Left Blank	Left Blank		Subtotal
25 26	Revenues							5,114
27 28	Expenses	(7,005)	642	2,611			Walter - The	(13,444)
29 30 31	Operating Income	7,005	(642)	(2,611)	-	-	-	18,558
32 33	Interest Expense							-
34 35 36	Other Income / Expense							(5,114)
37 38	Net Income	7,005	(642)	(2,611)	_	-		13,444
39 40								

Test Year Ended September 30, 2012 Adjustments to Revenues and Expenses Adjustment Number 1

Exhibit Rebuttal Schedule C-2
Page 2
Witness: Bourassa

#### Depreciation Expense

Line							
<u>No.</u> 1							
2					Adjusted		
3	Acct.		Original	Non-depreciable/	Original	<b>Proposed</b>	<b>Depreciation</b>
4	No.	Description	Cost	Fully Depreciated	Cost	Rates	Expense
5	301	Organization Cost	6,893	(6,893)		0.00%	-
6	302	Franchise Cost	-	-	-	0.00%	-
7	303	Land and Land Rights	-	-	•	0.00%	-
8	304	Structures and Improvements	173,667		173,667	3.33%	5,783
9	305	Collecting and Impounding Res.	-		-	2.50%	-
10	306	Lake River and Other Intakes	-		=	2.50%	-
11	307	Wells and Springs	695,019		695,019	3.33%	23,144
12	308	Infiltration Galleries and Tunnels	-		-	6.67%	-
13	309	Supply Mains	-		-	2.00%	-
14	310	Power Generation Equipment		/		5.00%	-
15	311	Electric Pumping Equipment	578,087	(257,348)	320,739	12.50%	40,092
16	320	Water Treatment Equipment	-		-	3.33%	-
17	320.1	Water Treatment Plant	4 000	(4.000)	-	3.33%	•
18	320.2	Chemical Solution Feeders	1,060	(1,060)	-	20.00% 2.22%	=
19	330	Dist. Reservoirs & Standpipe	000 505		- 892,565	2.22% 2.22%	19,815
20	330.1	Storage tanks	892,565		51,164	5.00%	2,558
21 22	330.2 331	Pressure Tanks Trans. and Dist. Mains	51,164 7,563,919		7,563,919	2.00%	151,278
23	333	Services	912,023		912.023	3.33%	30.370
24	334	Meters	639.567	(12,487)	627,080	8.33%	52,236
25	335	Hydrants	318,441	(12,407)	318,441	2.00%	6,369
26	336	Backflow Prevention Devices	510, <del>11</del> 1		-	6.67%	-
27	339	Other Plant and Misc. Equip.	-		-	6.67%	_
28	340	Office Furniture and Fixtures	1.170	•	1,170	6.67%	78
29	340.1	Computers and Software	108,718	(51,836)	56,881	20.00%	11,376
30	341	Transportation Equipment	49,719	(38,060)	11,659	20.00%	2,332
31	342	Stores Equipment	-	(,,	-	4.00%	´ <u>-</u>
32	343	Tools and Work Equipment	_		-	5.00%	
33	344	Laboratory Equipment	-		-	10.00%	-
34	345	Power Operated Equipment	_		-	5.00%	-
35	346	Communications Equipment	-		-	10.00%	-
36	347	Miscellaneous Equipment	-		-	10.00%	-
37	348	Other Tangible Plant			-	10.00%	
38		TOTALS	\$ 11,992,012	\$ (367,684)	\$ 11,624,328		\$ 345,432
39							
40					Gross CIAC	Amort. Rate	
41	Less: Ar	nortization of Contributions			\$ 3,012,974	2.9716%	\$ (89,534)
42	Total De	preciation Expense				•	\$ 255,898
43							
44	Adjusted	Test Year Depreciation Expense					255,898
45			•				
46	Increase	(decrease) in Depreciation Expense					(0)
47						•	
48	Adjustm	ent to Revenues and/or Expenses				,	\$ (0)
49							

50 SUPPORTING SCHEDULE 51 B-2, page 3 52 Workpapers

Test Year Ended September 30, 2012 Adjustment to Revenues and Expenses Adjustment Number 2 Exhibit Rebuttal Schedule Page 3 Witness: Bourassa

#### Property Taxes

Line		,	Test Year		Company
No.	DESCRIPTION		s adjusted		commended
1	Company Adjusted Test Year Revenues	\$	825,929	\$	825,929
2	Weight Factor	Ψ	2	•	2
3	Subtotal (Line 1 * Line 2)		1,651,858		1,651,858
4	Company Recommended Revenue		825,929		988,365
5	Subtotal (Line 4 + Line 5)		2,477,788		2,640,223
6	Number of Years		3		3
7	Three Year Average (Line 5 / Line 6)		825,929		880,074
8	Department of Revenue Mutilplier		2		2
9	Revenue Base Value (Line 7 * Line 8)		1,651,858		1,760,149
10	Plus: 10% of CWIP (intentionally excluded)		-		•
11	Less: Net Book Value of Licensed Vehicles		10,493		10,493
12	Full Cash Value (Line 9 + Line 10 - Line 11)		1,641,366		1,749,656
13	Assessment Ratio		19.0%		19.0%
14	Assessment Value (Line 12 * Line 13)		311,859		332,435
15	Composite Property Tax Rate - Obtained from ADOR		10.1574%		10.1574%
16	Test Year Adjusted Property Tax Expense (Line 14 • Line 15)	\$	31,677	\$	33,767
17	Tax on Parcels		-		•
18	Total Property Taxes (Line 16 + Line 17)	\$	31,677		
19	Adjusted Test Year Property Taxes	\$	33,136		
20	Adjustment to Test Year Property Taxes (Line 18 - Line 19)	\$	(1,459)		
21					
22	Property Tax on Company Recommended Revenue (Line 16 + Line 17)			\$	33,767
23	Company Test Year Adjusted Property Tax Expense (Line 18)			\$	31,677
24	Increase in Property Tax Due to Increase in Revenue Requirement			\$	2,090
25					
26	Increase in Property Tax Due to Increase in Revenue Requirement (Line 2	(4)		\$	2,090
27	Increase in Revenue Requirement	•		\$	162,435
28	Increase in Property Tax Per Dollar Increase in Revenue (Line 26 / Line 27	7)			1.28660%
29		•			
30					
Ā.4					

Test Year Ended September 30, 2012 Adjustment to Revenues and Expenses Adjustment Number 3 Exhibit Rebuttal Schedule C-2 Page 4 Witness: Bourassa

#### Other Operating Revenues

Line			
<u>No.</u>			
1			
2			
3	Reclass revenues from Non-Utility income to Other Revenues	\$	5,114
4			
5			
6	•		
7			
8			
9			
10			
11	Increase(decrease) in Other Revenues	_\$	5,114
12			
13	Adjustment to Revenue and/or Expense	\$	5,114_
14	•		<u> </u>
15			
16	Reference		
17	Stafff Adj. No. 1		
18	•		
19			
20			

Test Year Ended September 30, 2012 Adjustment to Revenues and Expenses Adjustment Number 4 Exhibit Rebuttal Schedule C-2 Page 5 Witness: Bourassa

#### Miscellaneous Fees

Line				
<u>No.</u>				
1	Water Testing Expense			
2	Reclass MAP fees to Water Testing	\$	7,687	
3				
4		<u>\$</u>	7,687	Adjustment 7a
5	Miscellaneous Expense			
6	Reclass MAP fees to Water Testing	\$	(7,687)	
7	Remove mealls&entertainment expenses		(624)	
8	Adjustment to Taxes Other Than Income	<u>\$</u>	(8,311)	Adjustment 7b
9				
10		*		
11				
12				
13	Adjustment to Revenue and/or Expense	<u>\$</u>	(624)	
14	·			
15				
16	Reference			
17	Staff Adj. # 6			
18				
19				
20				

Test Year Ended September 30, 2012 Adjustment to Revenues and Expenses Adjustment Number 5 Exhibit Rebuttal Schedule C-2 Page 6 Witness: Bourassa

#### Water Testing Expense

21 22

Line <u>No.</u> 1 2					
3					
4	Water Testing Expense per Staff			\$	11,502
5					
6	Test Year Adjusted Water Testing Expense		5,432		
- 7		•	7.007		
8	Relcassify MAP Testing Expense from Misc. Expense	\$	7,687	•	12 110
9	lu una manda ausana) in Matan Tantina Funance			<u>\$</u>	13,119
10	Increase(decrease) in Water Testing Expense			Φ	(1,617)
11					
12	Adicates at to Davinus and In Europe			ø	(4 647)
13	Adjustment to Revenue and/or Expense			<u> </u>	(1,617)
14	Defenses				
15	Reference				
16	Stafff Adj. No. 3				
17					
18					
19					
20					

Test Year Ended September 30, 2012 Adjustment to Revenues and Expenses Adjustment Number 6 Exhibit Rebuttal Schedule C-2 Page 7 Witness: Bourassa

#### Transportation Expense

Line <u>No.</u> 1			
2	Transportation Expense per Staff	\$	22,716
4 5	Test Year Adjusted Transportation Expense		28,707
6 7	Increase(decrease) in Transportation Expense	\$	(5,991)
8	Adjustes and to December and the Foregon	•	(5.004)
9 10	Adjustment to Revenue and/or Expense	\$	(5,991)
11 12	Reference Stafff Adj. No. 4		
13 14	·		
15 16			
17			
18 19			
20			

Test Year Ended September 30, 2012 Adjustment to Revenues and Expenses Adjustment Number 7 Exhibit Rebuttal Schedule C-2 Page 8 Witness: Bourassa

#### Miscellaneous Expense - Banking Fees

Line					
<u>No.</u>					
1					
2	Banking Fees - Web Based per Company		\$ 5,111		
3	Banking Fees - Non-Web Based per Staff	_	10,766		
4		_		\$	15,877
5	Adjusted Test Year Banking Fees Web Based				
6	Adjusted Test Year Banking Fees Non-Web Based		\$ 18,208		
7	Additional purchased power cost	_	4,674		
8	·	_		\$	22,882
9					
10	Adjustment to purchased power expense (rounded)			\$	(7,005)
11			•		
12					
13	Adjustment to Revenue and/or Expense				(7,005)
14	,		•	±14-0-2**	
15	Reference				
16	Testimony				
17	•				
18					
19					
20					

Test Year Ended December 31, 2001 Adjustment to Revenues and Expenses Adjustment Number 8 Exhibit Rebuttal Schedule C-2 Page 9 Witness: Bourassa

#### Salaries and Wages

529
887
642
642_

# Test Year Ended September 30, 2012 Adjustment to Revenues and/or Expenses Adjustment Number 9

SUPPORTING SCHEDULE C-3, page 2

Exhibit Rebuttal Schedule C-2 Page 10 Witness: Bourassa

Line	•				
<u>No.</u>					
1	Income Taxes				
2		Te	est Year	Te	st Year
3		at Pre	esent Rates	at Prop	osed Rates
4	Computed Income Tax	\$	(5,870)	\$	13,902
5	Test Year Income tax Expense		-		(5,870)
6	Adjustment to Income Tax Expense	\$	(5,870)	\$	19,772
7					
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					

Test Year Ended September 30, 2012 Computation of Gross Revenue Conversion Factor Exhibit Rebuttal Schedule C-3 Page 1 Witness: Bourassa

Line <u>No.</u> 1 2	<u>Description</u> Combined Federal and State Effective Income Tax Rate	Percentage of Incremental Gross <u>Revenues</u> 12.331%
3 4 5	Property Taxes	1.128%
6 7	Total Tax Percentage	13.459%
8 9 10 11 12	Operating Income % = 100% - Tax Percentage	86.541%
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	1	1.1555
25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40	SUPPORTING SCHEDULES: C-3, page 2	RECAP SCHEDULES: A-1

Farmers Water Co. Test Year Ended September 30, 2012

Exhibit Rebuttal Schedule C-3 Page 2 Witness: Bourassa

#### GROSS REVENUE CONVERSION FACTOR

Line <u>No.</u>	Description	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	[F]
1	<u>Calculation of Gross Revenue Conversion Factor</u> Revenue	100.0000%					
2	Uncollecible Factor (Line 11) Revenues (L1 - L2)	0.0000%					
4	Combined Federal and State Income Tax and Property Tax Rate (Line 23)	13.4590%	•				
5 6	Subtotal (L3 - L4) Revenue Conversion Factor (L1 / L5)	86.5410%	•				
0	Revenue Conversion Factor (L1 / L5)	1.155521	•				
7	Calculation of Uncollectible Factor						
8	Unity Combined Federal and State Tax Rate (L17)	100.0000%					
9	One Minus Combined Income Tax Rate (L7 - L8 )	87.6690%	•				
10 11	Uncollectible Rate Uncollectible Factor (L9 * L10 )	0.0000%	0.0000%				
•	, ,	•	0.0000%				
12	<u>Calculation of Effective Tax Rate:</u> Operating Income Before Taxes (Arizona Taxable Income)	100.0000%					
13	Arizona State Income Tax Rate	2.5900%	•				
14	Federal Taxable Income (L12 - L13)	97.4100%					
15 16	Applicable Federal Income Tax Rate (L55 Col F) Effective Federal Income Tax Rate (L14 x L15)	10.0000% 9.7410%	•				
17	Combined Federal and State Income Tax Rate (L13 +L16)		12.3310%				
	Calculation of Effective Property Tax Factor						
	Unity	100.0000%					
19 20	Combined Federal and State Income Tax Rate (L17) One Minus Combined Income Tax Rate (L18-L19)	12.3310% 87,6690%					
21	Property Tax Factor	1,2866%					
22	Effective Property Tax Factor (L20°L21)		1.1280%				
23	Combined Federal and State Income Tax and Property Tax Rate (L17+L22)		•	13.4590%			
24	Required Operating Income	\$ 98,836					
25	AdjustedTest Year Operating Income (Loss)	\$ (41,737)					
26	Required Increase in Operating Income (L24 - L25)		\$ 140,573				
	Income Taxes on Recommended Revenue (Col. (F), L52)	\$ 13,902					
28 29	Income Taxes on Test Year Revenue (Col. (C), L52) Required Increase in Revenue to Provide for Income Taxes (L27 - L28)	\$ (5,870)	<b>\$</b> 19,772				
			10,772				
30 31	Recommended Revenue Requirement Uncollectible Rate (Line 10)	\$ 988,365 0.0000%					
32	Uncollectible Expense on Recommended Revenue (L24 * L25)	\$ -	•				
33 34	Adjusted Test Year Uncollectible Expense Required Increase in Revenue to Provide for Uncollectible Exp.	<u>* - </u>					
34	Required increase in Revenue to Flovide for Uncollectible Exp.		•				
35 36	Property Tax with Recommended Revenue	\$ 33,767					
36 37	Property Tax on Test Year Revenue Increase in Property Tax Due to Increase in Revenue (L35-L36)	\$ 31,677	\$ 2.090				
38	Total Required Increase in Revenue (L26 + L29 + L37)		\$ 162,435				
30	Total Required Increase in Revenue (L20 + L29 + L37)		\$ ,102,435	•			
		(A)	(B) Year	(C)	(D)	(E)	
			i cai		Compan	y Recommended	
20	Calculation of Income Tax:	Total		Water	Total		Water
39 40	Revenue Operating Expenses Excluding Income Taxes	\$ 825,929 873,536		\$ 825,929 873,536	\$ 988,365 875,626		\$ 988,365 875,626
41	Synchronized Interest (L47)	-			-		-
42 43	Arizona Taxable Income (L39 - L40 - L41) Arizona State Effective Income Tax Rate (see work papers)	\$ (47,607) 2,5900%		\$ (47,607) 2,5900%	\$ 112,738 2.5900%		\$ 112,738 2.5900%
44	Arizona Income Tax (L42 x L43)	\$ (1,233)		\$ (1,233)	\$ 2,920		\$ 2,920
45	Federal Taxable Income (L42- L44)	\$ (46,374)	*	\$ (46,374)	\$ 109,818		\$ 109,818
46 47	Federal Tax Rate (see work papers) Federal Tax	10.0000% \$ (4,637)		10.0000% \$ (4,637)	10.0000% \$ 10,982		10.0000% \$ 10,982
48		(,,,,		(1,101)	10,502		10,002
49 50					.]		
51							
52	Table 1 Acres						
53 54	Total Federal Income Tax Combined Federal and State Income Tax (L35 + L42)	\$ (4,637) \$ (5,870)		\$ (4,637) \$ (5,870)	\$ 10,982 \$ 13,902		\$ 10,982 \$ 13,902
	, ,		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	10,010)			10,002
55 56	COMBINED Applicable Federal Income Tax Rate [Col. [D], L53 - Col. [A], L53 WASTEWATER Applicable Federal Income Tax Rate [Col. [E], L53 - Col. [B],	/ [Col. [D], L45 - Col. [A], L45] L531 / [Col. [E], 1.45 - Col. [B], 1.451			10.0000%	0.0000%	
57	WATER Applicable Federal Income Tax Rate [Col. [F], L53 - Col. [C], L53] / [C	Col. [F], L45 • Col. [C], L45]				0.000076	10.0000%

Calculation of Interest Synchronization:
 Rate Base
 Weighted Average Cost of Debt
 Synchronized Interest (L59 X L60)

Wastwa	ter	Wate	ЭГ
s	-	\$	(15,141) 0.0000%
	0.0000%		0.0000%
\$	-	\$	-

#### Farmers Water Co. Revenue Summary Test Year Ended September 30, 2012

Exhibit Rebuttal Schedule H-1 Page 1 Witness: Bourassa

Line				Total Revenues at Present		Total Revenues at Proposed		Dollar	Percent	Percent of Present Water	Percent of Proposed Water
No.	Meter Size	Classification	_	Rates	_	Rates	_	Change	<u>Change</u>	Revenues	Revenues
1	5/8x3/4 Inch	Residential	\$	475,171	\$	521,870	\$	46,700	9.83%	57.53%	52.80%
2 3	1 Inch	Residential		80,520		120,276		39,756	49.37%	9.75%	12.17%
4	1 1/2 Inch	Multi-Family		6,270		8,459		2,190	34.92%	0.76%	0.86%
5	2 Inch	Multi-Family		27,394		37,147		9,753	35.60%	3.32%	3.76%
6	3 Inch	Multi-Family		5,450		9,428		3,978	73.00%	0.66%	0.95%
7	4 Inch	Multi-Family		11,907		14,571		2,664	22.37%	1.44%	1.47%
8	6 Inch	Multi-Family		7,431		10,792		3,361	45.23%	0.90%	1.09%
9		•					_				
10	5/8x3/4 Inch	Commercial	\$	2,679	\$	2,944	\$	265	9.89%	0.32%	0.30%
11	1 Inch	Commercial		5,592 3,775		7,486		1,894	33.88%	0.68%	0.76%
12 13	1 1/2 Inch 2 Inch	Commercial Commercial		26,353		5,745 38,680		1,970 12,327	52.20% 46.78%	0.46% 3.19%	0.58% 3.91%
14	3 Inch	Commercial		4,452		5,799		1,347	30.25%	0.54%	0.59%
15	3 HIGH	Commercial		4,402		5,733		1,547	30.2376	0.3476	0.5976
16	5/8x3/4 Inch	Industrial		971		1,067		96	9.90%	0.12%	0.11%
17	1 Inch	Industrial		4,086		5,040		954	23.35%	0.49%	0.51%
18	2 Inch	Industrial		66,212		75,130		8,918	13.47%	8.02%	7.60%
19											
20	5/8x3/4 Inch	Irrigation	\$	5,300	\$	6,069	\$	769	14.51%	0.64%	0.61%
21	1 Inch	Irrigation		16,922		24,598		7,676	45.36%	2.05%	2.49%
22	1 1/2 Inch	Irrigation		3,473		5,424		1,951	56.16%	0.42%	0.55%
23	2 Inch	Irrigation		50,327		64,426		14,098	28.01%	6.09%	6.52%
24 25	2 Inch	Standaina	æ	388	\$	436	\$	40	12.24%	0.050/	0.04%
25 26	6 Inch	Standpipe Standpipe	\$	4,340	Ф	436 4,872	Ф	48 531	12.24%	0.05% 0.53%	0.04%
27	O IIICII	Glandpipe		4,540		4,072		331	12.2470	0.00%	0.43%
28	Subtotals of Re	evenues	\$	809,012	\$	970,258	\$	161,246	19.93%	97.95%	98.17%
29			•	000,012	•	0.0,200	*	101,210	10.0070	07.0070	00.1170
30	Revenue Annua	alizations:									
31	5/8x3/4 Inch	Residential	\$	(1,231)	\$	(1,363)	\$	(132)	10.73%	-0.15%	-0.14%
32	1 Inch	Residential		1,522		2,311		789	51.87%	0.18%	0.23%
33											
34	1 1/2 Inch	Multi-Family		359		483		124	34.66%	0.04%	0.05%
35	2 Inch	Multi-Family		-		-		-	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
36	3 inch	Multi-Family		-		-		-	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
37 38	4 Inch 6 Inch	Multi-Family Multi-Family		-		-		-	0.00% 0.00%	0.00% 0.00%	0.00% 0.00%
39	UIICII	wulu-r anniy		-		-		=	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
40	5/8x3/4 Inch	Commercial	\$	-	\$	_	\$	_	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
41	1 Inch	Commercial	•	863	*	1,160	•	297	34.38%	0.10%	0.12%
42	1 1/2 Inch	Commercial		-		-		-	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
43	2 Inch	Commercial		819		1,216		397	48.49%	0.10%	0.12%
44	3 Inch	Commercial		-		-		-	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
45											
46	5/8x3/4 Inch	Industrial		-		-		-	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
47	1 inch	Industrial		(516)		(650)		(134)	25.89%	-0.06%	-0.07%
48	2 Inch	Industrial		-		-		-	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
49 50	5/8x3/4 Inch	Irrigation	\$		\$	_	\$		0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
50 51	1 Inch	Irrigation	Φ	24	Φ	37	Φ	13	56.17%	0.00%	0.00%
52	1 1/2 Inch	Irrigation		-		-		-	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
53	2 Inch	Irrigation		_		-		-	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
54									2.22,0	0.0070	5.55,0
55											
56			_								
57	Subtotal Reven	ue Annualization	\$	1,840	\$	3,196	\$	1,355	73.66%	0.22%	0.39%
58					_		_			_	_
59		s w/ Annualization	\$	810,853	\$	973,454	\$	162,601	20.05%	98.17%	98.49%
60	Misc Revenues			15,089		15,089		(400)	0.00%	1.83%	1.53%
61 62	Reconciling An Total Revenues		_	925 030		(178)	\$	(166)	1383.33%	0.00%	-0.02%
62	i otai Kevenües	•	\$	825,930	\$	988,365	Þ	162,435	19.67%	100.00%	100.00%
63 64											
-											

Farmers Water Co.
Analysis of Revenue by Detailed Class
Test Year Ended September 30, 2012

Exhibit Rebuttal Schedule H-2 Page 1 Witness: Bourassa

		Customer	Average Number of Customers		Avera	ige	Bill		Proposed Inc	:rease	Percent	
Line	С	lassification	at	Average	Present	Proposed			Dollar	Percent	of	
No.	and	I/or Meter Size	9/30/2012	Consumption	Rates		Rates		Amount	Amount	Customers	
1	5/8x3/4 Inch	Residential	2,301	5,336	\$ 16.19	\$	17.91	\$	1.72	10.63%	84.52%	
2	1 Inch	Residential	237	8,929	27.28		40.98		13.69	50.19%	8.71%	
3												
4	1 1/2 Inch	Multi-Family	6	32,664	86.92		117.68		30.76	35.39%	0.21%	
5	2 Inch	Multi-Family	16	50,655	135.12		183.86		48.74	36.07%	0.59%	
6	3 Inch	Multi-Family	4	24,876	113.30		196.11		82.81	73.09%	0.15%	
7	4 Inch	Multi-Family	1	391,157	992.22		1,214.23		222.01	22.37%	0.04%	
8	6 Inch	Multi-Family	1	216,227	617.21		896.76		279.55	45.29%	0.04%	
9												
10	5/8x3/4 Inch	Commercial	11	5,697	\$ 19.07		20.75		1.67	8.78%	0.40%	
11	1 Inch	Commercial	9	17,036	45.18		60.77		15.59	34.51%	0.35%	
12	1 1/2 Inch	Commercial	6	16,327	51.66		78.82		27.16	52.57%	0.22%	
13	2 inch	Commercial	22	30,649	91.25		135.39		44.14	48.37%	0.82%	
14	3 Inch	Commercial	1	135,898	354.99		462.84		107.85	30.38%	0.04%	
15												
16	5/8x3/4 Inch	Industrial	5	3,750	15.38		16.76		1.38	8.99%	0.18%	
17	1 Inch	Industrial	4	36,029	91.72		113.01		21.29	23.21%	0.13%	
18	2 Inch	Industrial	2	1,117,283	2,748.36		3,117.09		368.73	13.42%	0.07%	
19												
20	5/8x3/4 Inch	Irrigation	25	4,625	\$ 14.84	\$	18.55	\$	3.71	25.03%	0.92%	
21	1 Inch	Irrigation	44	10,139	29.58		43.46		13.88	46.90%	1.61%	
22	1 1/2 Inch	Irrigation	6	13,973	47.19		73.99		26.81	56.81%	0.22%	
23	2 Inch	Irrigation	20	78,716	203.87		261.03		57.15	28.03%	0.73%	
24												
25	2 Inch	Standpipe	1	26,417	64.72		72.65		7.93	12.24%	0.02%	
26	6 Inch	Standpipe	1	147,625	361.68		405.97		44.29	12.24%	0.04%	
27												
28												
29										_		
30	Totals		2,722								100.00%	
31										-		
32	Actual Year End	Number										
33	of Customers:		2,725									

Farmers Water Co.
Analysis of Revenue by Detailed Class
Test Year Ended September 30, 2012

Exhibit Rebuttal Schedule H-2 Page 2 Witness: Bourassa

			Average Number of							
	c	ustomer	Customers		Medi	an i	3iII	Proposed I	ncrease	Percent
Line	Cla	ssification	at	Median	Present		Proposed	Dollar	Percent	of
<u>No.</u>	and/o	or Meter Size	9/30/2012	Consumption	Rates		Rates	Amount	<u>Amount</u>	Customers
1	5/8x3/4 Inch	Residential	2,301	3,500	\$ 12.98	\$	14.15	\$ 1.17	9.02%	84.52%
2 3	1 Inch	Residential	237	6,500	\$ 22.67	\$	36.00	\$ 13.33	58.80%	8.71%
4	1 1/2 Inch	Multi-Family	6	22,500	\$ 63.39	\$	91.48	\$ 28.09	44.31%	0.21%
5	2 Inch	Multi-Family	16	35,000	\$ 99.52	\$	144.31	\$ 44.79	45.01%	0.59%
6	3 Inch	Multi-Family	4	22,500	\$ 108.79	\$	191.25	\$ 82.46	75.79%	0.15%
7	4 Inch	Multi-Family	1	388,500	\$ 985.72	\$	1,206.93	\$ 221.21	22.44%	0.04%
. 8	6 Inch	Multi-Family	1	204,035	\$ 594.05	\$	871.77	\$ 277.73	46.75%	0.04%
9										
10	5/8x3/4 Inch	Commercial	11	5,500	\$ 18.70	\$	20.35	\$ 1.65	8.80%	0.40%
11	1 Inch	Commercial	9	2,500	\$ 15.07	\$	27.80	\$ 12.73	84.47%	0.35%
12	1 1/2 Inch	Commercial	6	15,000	\$ 49.14	\$	76.10	\$ 26.96	54.86%	0.22%
13	2 Inch	Commercial	22	13,000	\$ 57.72	\$	99.21	\$ 41.49	71.88%	0.82%
14	3 Inch	Commercial	1	130,828	\$ 342.57	\$	448.90	\$ 106.33	31.04%	0.04%
15										
16	5/8x3/4 Inch	Industrial	5	500	\$ 9.20	\$	10.10	\$ 0.90	9.73%	0.18%
17	1 Inch	Industrial	4	4,500	\$ 18.87	\$	31.90	\$ 13.03	69.05%	0.13%
18	2 Inch	Industrial	2	700,777	\$ 1,727.92	\$	1,971.70	\$ 243.77	14.11%	0.07%
19										
20	5/8x3/4 Inch	Irrigation	25	1,500	\$ 10.28	\$	12.15	\$ 1.87	18.20%	0.92%
21	1 Inch	Irrigation	44	5,000	19.82		32.93	13.11	66.12%	1.61%
22	1 1/2 Inch	Irrigation	6	12,000	43.44		69.95	26.51	61.03%	0.22%
23	2 Inch	Irrigation	20	42,500	115.15		161.44	46.29	40.20%	0.73%
24		·								
25	2 Inch	Standpipe	1	10,000	24.50		27.50	3.00	12.24%	0.02%
26	6 Inch	Standpipe	1	123,750	303.19		340.31	37.13	12.24%	0.04%
27		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •								
28										
29										
30	Totals		2,722						-	100.00%
31									•	
32	Actual Year End	Number								
33	of Customers:		2,725							
34	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •									
35										
36		•								
37										
38										
39										
39										

#### Farmers Water Co. Metered Revenue Breakdown Summary Present Rates

Exhibit Rebuttal Schedule H-2 Page 3 Witness: Bourassa

		ı	Present								
		N	<b>Monthly</b>	Co	ommodity	Co	mmodity	Commodity			
			<u>Mins</u>	<u> </u>	irst Tier	Sec	cond Tier	I	hird Tier		<u>Total</u>
5/8x3/4 Inch	Residential	\$	227,106	\$	110,386	\$	79,940	\$	56,508	\$	473,940
1 Inch	Residential		29,969		39,298		12,775		_		82,042
Subtotal		\$	257,075	\$	149,684	\$	92,715	\$	56,508	\$	555,982
			31.70%		18.46%		11.43%		6.97%		68.57%
4.401.4	A4 111 E 11	•	4 400	•	0.470	•	0.004	•		•	0.000
1 1/2 Inch	Multi-Family	\$	1,486	\$	2,178	\$	2,964	\$	-	\$	6,629
2 Inch	Multi-Family		6,340		9,583		11,471		-		27,394
3 Inch	Multi-Family		3,170		2,231		49 7 700		-		5,450
4 Inch	Multi-Family		1,238		2,873		7,796		-		11,907
6 Inch	Multi-Family	_	2,477	•	4,845	Φ.	109	Φ.	- ,	_	7,431
		\$	14,711	\$	21,710	\$	22,390	\$	- 0.004	\$	58,810
			1.81%		2.68%		2.76%		0.00%		7.25%
5/8x3/4 Inch	Commercial	\$	1,089	\$	872	\$	718	\$	_	\$	2,679
1 Inch	Commercial	•	1,362	•	1,456	•	3,636	•	-	•	6,455
1 1/2 Inch	Commercial		1,486		2,043		245		-		3,775
2 Inch	Commercial		9,114		9,201		8,857		-		27,172
3 Inch	Commercial		792		1,159		2,501		_		4,452
Subtotal		\$	13,843	\$	14,732	\$	15,958	\$	-	\$	44,533
			1.71%		1.82%		1.97%		0.00%		5.49%
		_		_		_		_			
5/8x3/4 Inch	Industrial	\$	495	\$	261	\$	214	\$	-	\$	971
1 Inch	Industrial		372		365		2,833		-		3,570
2 Inch	Industrial	_	792		957		64,463		-		66,212
		\$	1,659	\$	1,583	\$	67,510	\$	-	\$	70,752
			0.20%		0.20%		8.33%		0.00%		8.73%
5/8x3/4 Inch	Irrigation	\$	2,475	\$	508	\$	556	\$	1,762	\$	5,300
1 Inch	Irrigation	*	5,449	*	5,569	*	5,929	•	-	•	16,946
1 1/2 Inch	Irrigation		1,486		1,650		337		_		3,473
2 Inch	Irrigation		7,925		13,411		28,991		_		50,327
Subtotal	9	\$	17,335	\$	21,138	\$	35,813	\$	1,762		76,047
		·	2.14%	·	2.61%	•	4.42%	•	0.22%		9.38%
									70		
O locals	Otan data	æ		•	202	æ		æ		φ.	200
2 Inch	Standpipe	\$	-	\$	388	\$	-	\$	-	\$	388
6 Inch	Standpipe		-	•	4,340	•		•	-	Φ.	4,340
		\$	- 0.000/	\$	4,729	\$	- 0.000/	\$	-	\$	4,729
			0.00%		0.58%		0.00%		0.00%		0.58%

TOTALS	\$ 304,623	\$ 213,575	\$ 234,385	\$ 58,270	\$ 810,853
Percent of Total	 37.57%	26.34%	28.91%	 7.19%	100.00%
Cummulative %	37.57%	63.91%	92.81%	100.00%	

#### Farmers Water Co. Metered Revenue Breakdown Summary Company Proposed Rates

Exhibit Rebuttal Schedule H-2 Page 4 Witness: Bourassa

			Present	_		_		_		VVI	ness: Bourass
		r	Monthly		ommodity		ommodity		ommodity		Tatal
5/00/4 lasals	Desidential	•	Mins		First Tier		econd Tier 117,775	_	hird Tier	\$	Total
5/8x3/4 Inch	Residential	\$	249,679	\$	89,626	\$		\$	63,428	Ф	520,507 122,588
1 Inch	Residential	\$	65,848 315,527	\$	42,400 132,026	\$	14,339 132,115	\$	63,428	\$	643,095
Subtotal		Ф	32.41%	Φ	132,026	Φ	132,113	Φ	6.52%	Φ	66.06%
			32.4170		13.30 //		13.31 /0		0.02 /6		00.0076
1 1/2 Inch	Multi-Family	\$	3,265	\$	2,350	\$	3,327	\$	-	\$	8,943
2 Inch	Multi-Family		13,932		10,339		12,876		-		37,147
3 Inch	Multi-Family		6,966		2,407		55		-		9,428
4 Inch	Multi-Family		2,721		3,100		8,750		-		14,571
6 Inch	Multi-Family		5,442		5,228	_	122		<b>-</b>		10,792
		\$	32,325	\$	23,424	\$	25,131	\$	-	\$	80,880
Subtotal			3.32%		2.41%		2.58%		0.00%		8.31%
5/8x3/4 Inch	Commercial	\$	1,197	\$	941	\$	806	\$	-	\$	2,944
1 Inch	Commercial		2,993		1,571		4,082		-		8,646
1 1/2 Inch	Commercial		3,265		2,205		275		-		5,745
2 Inch	Commercial		20,027		9,927		9,942		-		39,896
3 Inch	Commercial		1,741		1,251		2,807				5,799
Subtotal		\$	29,224	\$	15,895	\$	17,912	\$	<b>-</b>	\$	63,030
			3.00%		1.63%		1.84%		0.00%		6.47%
5/8x3/4 Inch	Industrial	\$	544	\$	282	\$	241	\$	_	\$	1,067
1 Inch	Industrial		816		394		3,180		-		4,390
2 Inch	Industrial		1,741		1,032		72,356		<del>-</del>		75,130
		\$	3,102	\$	1,708	\$	75,777	\$	-	\$	80,587
			0.32%		0.18%		7.78%		0.00%		8.28%
5/8x3/4 Inch	Irrigation	\$	2,721	\$	1,371	\$	1,977	\$	-	\$	6,069
1 Inch	Irrigation	·	11,972	·	6,008		6,655		-		24,635
1 1/2 Inch	Irrigation		3,265		1,780		378		-		5,424
2 Inch	Irrigation		17,414		14,470		32,541		-		64,426
Subtotal	_	\$	35,373	\$	23,629	\$	41,551	\$	-	\$	100,554
			3.63%		2.43%		4.27%		0.00%		10.33%
2 Inch	Standpipe		_		436		_		-		436
6 Inch	Standpipe		-		4,872		-		_		4,872
		\$	-	\$	5,308	\$	-	\$	-	\$	5,308
		•	0.00%		0.55%		0.00%		0.00%		0.55%
	TOTALS	\$	415,551	\$	201,990	\$	292,485	\$	63,428	\$	973,454
	Percent of Total	_	42.69%		20.75%		30.05%		6.52%		100.00%
	Cummulative %		42.69%		63.44%		93.48%		100.00%		
							**		, -		

# Farmers Water Co. Test Year Ended September 30, 2012 Present and Proposed Rates

Exhibit Rebuttal Schedule H-3 Page 1

Line No.	Monthly Usage Charge for:	Present Rates	•	Proposed Rates		Change	Percent Change
1	Meter Size (All Classes):	110100		1100	-	<del></del>	<u> </u>
2	5/8x3/4 Inch	\$ 8.25	\$	9.07	\$	0.82	9.94%
2	3/4 Inch	9.28		13.61	•	4.33	46.61%
3	1 Inch	10.32		22.68		12.36	119.72%
4	1 1/2 Inch	20.64		45.35		24.71	119.72%
5	2 Inch	33.02		72.56		39.54	119.75%
6	3 Inch	66.04		145.12		79.08	119.75%
7	4 Inch	103.19		226.75		123.56	119.74%
8	6 Inch	206.38		453.50		247.12	119.74%
9	2 Inch Construction/Standpipe (Assigned)	33.02		72.56		39.54	119.75%
10	3 Inch Construction/Standpipe (Assigned)	NT SS.02		145.12		03.04	113.7070
11	6 Inch Construction/Standpipe (Assigned)	206.38		453.50		247.12	119.74%
12	o ilicii colistiaction/standpipe (Assigned)	200.00		400.00		247.12	113.7470
13							
14	Galfons In Minimum (all classes)	_		_			
15	Ganoris in within (all classes)	_		_			
16				Present	Ð	roposed	
17	Commodity Batos (nor 1 000 college)	Block		Rate	-	Rate	,
18	Commodity Rates (per 1,000 gallons)	BIOCK		Nate		Nate	
19	5/8x3/4 Inch Residential	1 gallons to 4,000 gallons	\$	1.35	127211	SOUTH SECTION AND ADDRESS.	
20	5/0x5/4 Inch Residential	4,001 gallons to 10,000 gallons	\$	1.90			
21		over 10,000 gallons	\$				
		over 10,000 gasons	Ф	2.40	anyon.	ca la si li	
22	50.041.1.0.11.51	4 11 4 - 0 000 11	DAFSTON	CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR	\$	1,35	
23	5/8x3/4 Inch Residential	1 gallons to 3,000 gallons			\$		
24		3,001 gallons to 10,000 gallons	E S			2.05	
25		over 10,000 gallons	MARK.	No accordance to	\$	2.75	
26	510 AM In the American Indicated	4		1.00	685229	West restriction and	
27	5/8x3/4 Inch - Commercial, Industrial	1 gallons to 10,000 gallons	\$ \$	1.90			
28		over 10,000 gallons	Þ	2.45	100		
29	500 Old lank Commencial Indication Indication	4 college to 40 000 college	10000000	THE PERSON NAMED IN COURSE	•	2.05	
30	5/8x3/4 Inch - Commercial, Industrial, Irrigation	1 gallons to 10,000 gallons			\$	2.05	
31 32		over 10,000 gallons	E-SCHE		Þ	2.75	
	Old lash Mates Basideshirt	4 college to 4 000 college	\$	1.35	•	1.35	
33	3/4 Inch Meter - Residential	1 gallons to 4,000 gallons		1.90	\$ \$	2.05	
34		4,001 gallons to 10,000 gallons	\$				
35		over 10,000 gallons	\$	2.45	ъ	2.75	
36							
37							
38							
39			99.0860	PROPERTY CONTROL OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER			
40	3/4 Inch Meter - Commercial, Industrial, Irrigation	1 gallons to 10,000 gallons			\$	2.05	
41		over 10,000 gallons	100		\$	2.75	
42							
43	NT = No Tariff						
44	NT = No Tariff						

# Farmers Water Co. Test Year Ended September 30, 2012 Present and Proposed Rates

Exhibit Rebuttal Schedule H-3 Page 2

5 over 12,500 gallons \$ 2.45 \$ 2.7	<u>Rate</u> 2.05
1   2   3   Commodity Rates (per 1.000 gallons)   Block   Rate	<u>Rate</u> 2.05
2   Present   Proposed   Rate   Present   Rate   Rate   Present   Rate   Rate   Present   Present   Rate   Present   Rate   Present   Rate   Present   Present   Rate   Present   Presen	<u>Rate</u> 2.05
3         Commodity Rates (per 1,000 gallons)         Block         Rate         Rate         Rate           4         1 Inch Meter (all classes, except construction/standpipe)         1 gallons to 12,500 gallons         \$ 1.90         \$ 2.0           5         over 12,500 gallons         \$ 2.45         \$ 2.7	<u>Rate</u> 2.05
4       1 Inch Meter (all classes, except construction/standpipe)       1 gallons to 12,500 gallons       \$ 1.90 \$ 2.0         5       over 12,500 gallons       \$ 2.45 \$ 2.7	2.05
5 over 12,500 gallons \$ 2.45 \$ 2.7	
6	
	2.70
7 1.5 Inch Meter (all classes, except construction/standpipe) 1 gallons to 25,000 gallons \$ 1.90 \$ 2.0	2.05
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2.75
9	2
10 2 Inch Meter (all classes, except construction/standpipe) 1 gallons to 40,000 gallons \$ 1.90 \$ 2.0	2.05
11 over 40,000 gailtons \$ 2.45 \$ 2.7	2.75
12	
	2.05
	2.75
15	
	2.05
17 over 126,000 gallons \$ 2.45 \$ 2.7	2.75
	2.05
	2.05
21	2.75
	2.05
	2.75
24	
	2.75
26	
	2.05
	2.75
29	
30 3 Inch Construction or Standpipe (No Assigned Customer) All gallons \$ 2.7	2.75
	2.05
	2.05
34 ( )	
	2.75
36	20
37	
38	
39	
40	
41	

#### Present and Proposed Rates Test Year Ended September 30, 2012

Exhibit Rebuttal Schedule H-3 Page 3 Witness: Bourassa

Line
No.

1	Meter and Service Line Cl	<u>harges</u>					
2			Present			Proposed	
3		Present	Meter		Proposed	Meter	
4		Service	Install-	Total	Service	Install-	Total
5		Line	ation	Present	Line	ation	Proposed
6		<u>Charge</u>	<u>Charge</u>	<u>Charge</u>	Charge <sup>1</sup>	Charge <sup>1</sup>	Charge <sup>1</sup>
7	5/8 x 3/4 Inch	\$ 385.00	\$ 135.00	\$ 520.00	\$ 385.00	\$ 135.00	\$ 520.00
8	3/4 Inch	415.00	205.00	620.00	415.00	205.00	620.00
9	1 Inch	465.00	265.00	730.00	465.00	265.00	730.00
10	1 1/2 Inch	520.00	475.00	995.00	520.00	475.00	995.00
11	2 Inch Turbo	800.00	995.00	1,795.00	800.00	995.00	1,795.00
12	2 Inch, Compound	800.00	1,840.00	2,640.00	800.00	1,840.00	2,640.00
13	3 Inch Turbo	1,015.00	1,620.00	2,635.00	1,015.00	1,620.00	2,635.00
14	3 Inch, compound	1,135.00	2,495.00	3,630.00	1,135.00	2,495.00	3,630.00
15	4 Inch Turbo	1,430.00	2,570.00	4,000.00	1,430.00	2,570.00	4,000.00
16	4 Inch, compound	1,610.00	3,545.00	5,155.00	1,610.00	3,545.00	5,155.00
17	6 Inch Turbo	2,150.00	4,925.00	7,075.00	2,150.00	4,925.00	7,075.00
18	6 Inch, compound	2,270.00	6,820.00	9,090.00	2,270.00	6,820.00	9,090.00
19	8 Inch	Cost	Cost	Cost	Cost	Cost	Cost
20	10 Inch	Cost	Cost	Cost	Cost	Cost	Cost
21	12 Inch	Cost	Cost	Cost	Cost	Cost	Cost
22	•						

<u>Present</u>

<sup>1</sup> Based on ACC Staff Engineering Memo dated Feburary 21, 2008 NT = No Tariff

#### Other Charges:

23

Establishment	\$ 35.00
Reestablishment (After Hours)	\$ 50.00
Reestablishment within 12 months	*
Reconnection (Delinguent)	\$ 40.00
Reconnection (Delinguent - After Hours)	\$ 55.00
Meter Test (if correct)	\$ 25.00
Meter Re-read (if correct)	\$ 20.00
Deposit	**
Deposit Interest	6%**
NSF Check	\$ 20.00
Deferred Payment, per month	1.5% per month
Late Payment Fee (per month)	1.5% per month
After hours service charge (at customer request)	Cost
Meter Tampering Charge	Cost
Meter Box "Cut Lock" Charge	Cost

#### Proposed

	\$	35.00
	Rei	move***
	*	
	\$	40.00
	Rei	move***
	\$	25.00
	\$	20.00
	**	
	6%*	*
	\$	20.00
	1.5%	er month
.,	1.5%	per month
	\$	35.00
	Co	st
	Co:	st

<sup>46</sup> Number of months off the system times the monthly minimum.

<sup>47 \*\*</sup> Per Rule R14-2-403.B 48 \*\*\* See After Hours Service Charge

<sup>49</sup> NT = No Tariff

# FARMERS WATER CO. Docket No. W-01654A-13-0267

Bourassa Testimony
Rebuttal Exhibit TJB-RB-RB1

#### FARMERS WATER CO. 2013 RATE CASE DOCKET NO. W-01654A-13-0267

### DOCKET NO. W-01654A-13-0267 RESPONSE TO STAFF'S SECOND SET OF DATA REQUESTS

Response provided by:

Mathew Bailey

Title:

**Executive Vice President** 

Company Name:

Farmers Water Co.

Address:

1525 E. Sahuarita Road

Sahuarita, Arizona 85629

Company Response Number: CSB 2.16

- Q. \$22,881 Proforma Adjustment for Banking Fees for Web Based Transactions (Schedule C-2, P.11) Please provide the following:
  - a. A list of all test year (i.e. September 30, 2012) banking fees for web based transactions;
  - b. A list of all banking fees for web based transactions for the year ended September 30, 2013 when available.
  - c. A calculation showing how the August 2013 web bank fee was calculated along with supporting documentation;
  - d. A calculation showing how the \$22,881 in annualized banking fees for web based transactions was calculated along with supporting documentation.

A.

- a. There are no banking fees for web based transactions in the test year as the testing mode for the website with web based transaction functionality was not launched until December of 2012 and was not fully available to customers until February 2013.
- b. See the schedule contained in the file "CSB 2.16b.pdf" included on the enclosed CD.
- c. See the schedule contained in the file "CSB 2.16c.pdf" included on the enclosed CD.
- d. The website was officially up and available to customers as of February 2013. The cost of the website and the new payment options offered via the website were not within the test year charges as there was no website then. The fees for the use of the payment options from both the bank and the credit card services are expect to increase as more customers convert to the website. In order to more accurately

#### CSB 2.16b

Farmers Water Co Schedule of Bank Fees Fiscal Year 2013

		October	November	December	January	February	March	April	May	June	July	August	September	Total
Non - Web based fees														
Credit Card Fees from Merchant				1.92	2.05	4.11	16.40	32.38	32.29	28.92	69.68	59.32	8	328.93
FWC deposit account -web (Ebill	494-4614908				325.50	345.80	330.45	356.90	363.85	360.10	361.60	401.95	411.10	3,257.25
Web Based Fees		-	-	1.92	327.55	349.91	346.85	389.28	396.14	389.02	431.28	461.27	492.96	3,586.18
FWC deposit account	494-4614908	328.98	647.52	663.68	746.41	579.91	690.35	766.54	1,197.45	1,183.96	895.17	475.67	511.86	8,687.50
PR	400-0033241	0.92	1.04	2.09	1.04	1.28	1.31	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.80	12.44
AP	412-2027816	10.48	9.40	9.30	7.36	10.72	9.78	9.54	9.90	9.90	9.54	7.26	7.16	110.29
Parent Consolidated Account	400-0033233	1,097.50							180.06	172.45	163.71	167.74	174.74	1,956.20
Non Web Based Fees		1437.87	657.95	675.07	<u>754.8</u>	591.9	701.44	776.87	1388.2	1367.1	1069.21	651.46	694.55	10766.43
	Total Bank Fees	1,437.87	657.95	676.99	1,082.35	941.81	1,048.29	1,166.15	1,784.34	1,756.12	1,500.49	1,112.73	1,187.51	14,352.61

#### CSB 2.16b

Payroll	ACH's issued Rate	8 0.0994 0.7952	8 0.0994 0.7952	15 0.0994 1.491	8 0.0994 0.7952	8 0.0994 0.7952	12 0.0994 1.1928	8 0.0994 0.7952	8 0.0994 0.7952	8 0.0994 0.7952	0.0994 0.7952	8 0.0994 0.7952	8 0.0994 0.7952
	Checks issued	1	2	5	2	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Rate	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.12
		0.12	0.24	0.6	0.24	0.48	0.12	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total		0.9152	1.0352	2.091	1.0352	1.2752	1.3128	0.7952	0.7952	0.7952	0.7952	0.7952	0.7952
AP	ACH's issued	74	74	73	74	74	73	73	73	73	73	73	72
	Rate	0.0994	0.0994	0.0994	0.0994	0.0994	0.0994	0.0994	0.0994	0.0994	0.0994	0.0994	0.0994
		7.3556	7.3556	7.2562	7.3556	7.3556	7.2562	7.2562	7.2562	7.2562	7.2562	7.2562	7.1568
	Checks issued	26	17	17	0	28	21	19	22	22	19	0	0
	Rate	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.12
		3.12	2.04	2.04	0	3.36	2.52	2.28	2.64	2.64	2.28	0	0
		10.4756	9.3956	9.2962	7.3556	10.7156	9.7762	9.5362	9.8962	9.8962	9.5362	7.2562	7.1568

FARMERS WATER CO.

Docket No. W-01654A-13-0267

Bourassa Testimony
Rebuttal Exhibit TJB-RB-RB2

CSB 3.11	Web Fees – This is a follow-up to CSB 2.16. Please provide the total amount of web fees incurred as of 12/31.13. For any additional cost above the amount reported in response to CSB 2.16, please provide supporting documentation.  Response – Please see the attached schedule of Web fees as well as copies of the supporting documentation. (Attachments CSB 3.11, CSB 3.11 Backup)
	Of Trouble Doo Mile Wille Well to Us

Farmers Water Co Schedule of Bank Web based Fees Calendar Year 2013

		January	February	March	April	May	June	July	August	September	October	November	December	Total
Credit Card Fees from Merchant Acccount		2.05	4.11	16.40	32.38	32.29	28.92	69.68	59.32	81.86	89.31	71.61	55.92	543.85
FWC deposit account -web (Ebill)	494-4614908	325.50	345.80	330.45	356.90	363.85	360.10	361.60	401.95	411.10	428.65	442.95	438.80	4,567.65
Web Based Fees	4	327,55	349.91	346,85	389,28	396.14	389.02	431,28	461,27	492.96	517.96	514.56	494.72	5,111,50
Туре	Svc Code						Volume	Volume	Volume	Volume	Volume	Volume	Volume	
Bill Present	28358						39	49	98	124	146	173	182	
ACH	28360						14	7	39	56	60	66	58	
cc	28361						24	21	72	50	81	89	66	